Original Article

Socioeconomic Influences On Parental and Community Participation in Elementary School Education

Rima Kumari[1], Dr. Kishore Kumar[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Education, Magadh University, Bodhgaya
[2]Professor, Head of Department of Psychology, Gaya College, Gaya

Abstract	*Parental and community participation are pivotal in ensuring the success of elementary education, fostering improved learning outcomes, and bridging the gap between schools and society. This paper examines the socioeconomic factors influencing such participation, highlighting disparities rooted in income levels, educational attainment, and community resources. Drawing on theoretical frameworks like Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, Social Capital Theory, and Critical Theory, the study provides a nuanced understanding of how economic and cultural contexts shape engagement. It explores the impact of parental income, employment status, and cultural capital on educational involvement, as well as the role of community networks in enhancing school support. Additionally, it proposes actionable strategies to overcome socioeconomic barriers, including flexible participation opportunities, resource accessibility, and fostering trust between schools and marginalized communities. The findings underscore the importance of targeted interventions to promote equity in parental and community participation, creating inclusive and supportive educational environments for all students.*

Keywords: *Parental Participation, Community Engagement, Elementary Education, Educational Equity, Social Capital.*

Address for correspondence: Rima Kumari, Research Scholar, Department of Education, Magadh University, Bodhgaya
Email: dheeraj.dhanjay99@gmail.com

Introduction

Education has long been recognized as one of the most critical tools for personal development and societal progress. At the elementary level, where the foundation for lifelong learning is laid, the role of the family and broader community becomes particularly vital in supporting and enhancing a child's educational journey. However, the extent and nature of parental and community involvement in elementary school education is deeply influenced by socioeconomic factors. Factors such as income level, parental education, employment status, housing conditions, access to resources, and social capitalcan either facilitate or hinder the ability of parents and communities to actively engage with schools and support student learning. This relationship between socioeconomic status (SES) and educational involvement is complex and multifaceted and raises important questions about equity, access, and educational outcomes. In many societies, children from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds tend to experience lower levels of parental and community support in their schooling than their more affluent peers. This disparity is not necessarily due to a lack of interest or care but rather to a variety of structural and contextual constraints that limit participation. As such, it is imperative to examine the ways in which socioeconomic conditions shape, restrict, or promote engagement in elementary education and explore strategies to create more inclusive and supportive educational environments for all children.

Parental involvement in education encompasses a wide range of activities, from helping with homework and attending parent-teacher meetings to participating in school governance and volunteering in classroom activities. Numerous studies have shown that children whose parents are actively involved in their education tend to have higher academic achievement, better social skills, and an increased motivation to learn. Similarly, community participation in education, through local leadership, resource mobilization, and cultural support, has been shown to strengthen school performance and increase accountability.

Quick Response Code:	Access this article online	This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International, The Creative Commons Attribution license allows re-distribution and re-use of a licensed work on the condition that the creator is appropriately credited
	Website: https://rlgjaar.com	
	Website: https://www.doi.org DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15798626	**How to cite this article:** Kumari, R., & Kumar, K. (2024). Socioeconomic Influences On Parental and Community Participation in Elementary School Education. Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research, 1(5), 29–36. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15798626

Despite these widely acknowledged benefits, parental and community participation are not uniformly distributed across different socioeconomic strata. For example, parents with higher education and income levels are often more confident in engaging with teachers, understanding school policies, and advocating for their children. They are also more likely to have flexible work schedules, access transportation, and awareness of their rights and responsibilities in the school system. However, parents from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may face numerous barriers, including long working hours, language challenges, low literacy levels, and feelings of intimidation or alienation in formal educational settings. These factors can significantly diminish their ability to meaningfully participate in their children's education.

The influence of socioeconomic status extends beyond individual households to a broader community. In economically marginalized communities, schools often struggle with inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, and a lack of qualified teachers. These limitations can affect the quality of the education provided and discourage community involvement. In contrast, wealthier communities may benefit from more robust support systems, well-resourced schools, and active parent-teacher associations (PTAs) that foster strong school-community partnerships. The social capital available in a community, defined as the networks of relationships among people who live and work in a particular society, also plays a significant role in shaping educational participation. Communities with high levels of trust, cooperation, and civic engagement are more likely to support local schools through volunteerism, fundraising, mentorship, and advocacy. In contrast, communities affected by poverty, crime, or instability may lack the cohesion or resources to engage effectively with educational institutions. Thus, understanding the interplay between socioeconomic status and educational participation requires a holistic approach that considers both micro-level (family) and macro-level (community) dynamics.

It is also important to recognize that cultural values and historical contexts intersect with socioeconomic factors that influence educational engagement. In some cultures, education is viewed primarily as the responsibility of the school with limited expectations for parental involvement. In others, collective community responsibility for child-rearing and education is deeply ingrained. These cultural norms can reinforce or mitigate the effects of socioeconomic disadvantages. Moreover, the legacy of systemic inequalities such as racial segregation, colonial history, and discriminatory policiescan contribute to intergenerational cycles of educational exclusion. Families and communities that have been historically marginalized may carry a sense of mistrust toward formal education systems, particularly if their past experiences are characterized by neglect, prejudice, or failure. This historical dimension adds another layer of complexity to participation and underscores the need for culturally responsive and socially equitable educational practices.

In recent years, governments, NGOs, and educational institutions have increasingly recognized the need to address socioeconomic barriers to educational participation. Initiatives such as parent education programs, community outreach campaigns, school-community partnerships, and inclusive school governance structures aim to create more opportunities for engagement across diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. However, the success of these initiatives often depends on their ability to address root causes and tailor interventions according to the unique needs of different communities. One-size-fits-all approaches are unlikely to succeed in environments with deep inequalities. For instance, simply inviting parents to attend school events without addressing logistical and emotional barriers, such as a lack of transportation, child care responsibilities, or discomfort with school staff, may yield limited results. Therefore, effective engagement strategies must be grounded in empathy, inclusivity, and a deep understanding of the lived realities of families and communities.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted and, in many cases, has exacerbated disparities in parental and community participation in education. As schools shifted to remote learning, students from low-income households faced significant challenges in accessing digital devices, stable Internet connections, and adult supervision. Parents in essential or informal jobs could not afford to stay at home and support online learning, while community support structures were often disrupted due to health restrictions. These challenges reinforced existing inequalities and underscored the importance of addressing socioeconomic determinants in educational planning. The pandemic experience serves as a stark reminder of how deeply education is intertwined with broader social and economic conditions and how vulnerable children from disadvantaged backgrounds are when those conditions are not conducive to learning.

The relationship between socioeconomic status and parental and community participation in elementary school education is both critical and complex. Socioeconomic factors influence not only the capacity of parents and communities to engage with schools but also the quality and effectiveness of that engagement. Addressing these influences requires a comprehensive approach that includes policy reforms, community empowerment, targeted resource allocation, and culturally sensitive practices. By understanding and addressing the socioeconomic determinants of educational participation, we can move toward a more equitable education system in which every child, regardless of background, benefits from the active involvement of their family and community. This study aims to explore these dynamics in depth, examining how socioeconomic conditions shape the landscape of educational participation, and what can be done to support more

inclusive and effective engagement at the elementary level.

Theoretical Perspectives on Socioeconomic Influences

Socioeconomic factors play a pivotal role in shaping the level and nature of parental and community participation in elementary school. Several theoretical frameworks help elucidate the mechanisms through which these influences operate, providing a deeper understanding of disparities in educational engagement.

1. Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory

Provided a comprehensive framework for understanding how various layers of environmental influence affect a child's development. Central to this theory is the idea that a child does not develop in isolation but is embedded within multiple systems that interact with one another and shape their experiences. These systems include microsystems, mesosystems, exosystems, macrosystems, and chronosystems. In the context of education, particularly at the elementary level, the microsystem and mesosystem play a pivotal role, and are deeply affected by socioeconomic status (SES).

A microsystem represents the immediate environment in which a child interacts directly. This includes families, schools, peers, and neighborhoods. Within this system, families and schools are especially influential in shaping educational outcomes. Children from higher- SES backgrounds often benefit from enriched home environments that support cognitive and emotional development, such as access to books, technology, and extracurricular activities. Their parents are more likely to have higher education levels, which enhances their ability to help with schoolwork, navigate the school system, and communicate effectively with teachers. Additionally, these parents often have more flexible work schedules, which allow them to attend school events, participate in parent-teacher meetings, and become actively involved in school governance or volunteer activities.

By contrast, children from lower SES backgrounds may experience a microsystem that is constrained by various challenges. Financial stress, job insecurity, limited educational resources, and time constraints can hinder parents' involvement in schooling. Parents working multiple jobs or long hours may not have the time or energy to participate in their children's education as actively as they would like. Moreover, low levels of parental education may limit their confidence in interacting with teachers or understanding school policies, creating a sense of disconnection between home and school environments. These disparities contribute to unequal educational opportunities in the earliest stages of formal schooling.

The mesosystem refers to the interactions between different components of the microsystem, most notably the relationship between family and school. A strong positive connection between these two institutions typically supports students' success.

For example, effective communication between teachers and parents can help identify children's needs early, align expectations, and provide consistent support. However, the strength and quality of these interactions are often influenced by SES. Schools in affluent areas may foster more collaborative relationships with parents because of shared cultural capital and communication styles. In contrast, schools serving low-income communities may face challenges in engaging parents due to perceived or real social and cultural barriers as well as institutional limitations.

2. Social Capital Theory

It emphasizes the importance of social networks, relationships, norms, and trust in facilitating cooperation and resource exchange among individuals and groups. In educational contexts, social capital plays a vital role in shaping how families and communities interact with schools and in supporting student learning. According to this theory, communities with strong social bonds and active networks are better equipped to organize collective efforts, share valuable information, and promote civic engagement, including participation in school-related activities. These interactions contribute to a sense of shared responsibility for children's education, and foster environments in which learning can thrive.

In elementary education, social capital is most visible in the form of parent-teacher communication, volunteerism, participation in school events, collaboration in decision-making, and support for academic and extracurricular programs. When families have strong social ties with one another and school staff, they are more likely to become actively involved in the educational process. These relationships create a web of mutual support where parents feel welcomed and empowered to contribute to their children's schooling. For example, in communities with high levels of social capital, it is common to see active Parent-Teacher Associations (PTAs), peer-to-peer support among families, and informal networks that help parents navigate the school system or address challenges such as homework or transportation.

Socioeconomic disparities often influence the development and availability of social capital. Wealthier communities generally possess greater access to social capital because of their stable employment, higher education levels, and more time for community engagement. These communities are likely to have established networks and shared norms that reinforce collective educational goals. They also tend to have greater influence on school policies and resource allocation through sustained involvement and advocacy. Social capital, in such contexts, becomes a powerful asset that enhances both academic achievement and institutional accountability.

By contrast, economically disadvantaged communities may struggle to build or maintain social capital. Financial hardship, housing instability, and demanding work schedules can prevent parents from forming strong ties with their schools or fellow parents. Additionally, lower levels of trust in institutions—stemming from historical

marginalization, negative school experiences, or perceived discrimination—can erode families' willingness to engage with educational authorities. Language barriers, cultural differences, and lack of familiarity with school procedures can further isolate parents from the school community. In such settings, the absence of strong networks and supportive relationships limits opportunities for meaningful participation and reduces the collective capacity to advocate school improvements.

Social Capital Theory, therefore, helps explain why community and parental engagement in education is uneven across socioeconomic lines. This points to the need for deliberate efforts to build trust, foster inclusive networks, and reduce social isolation in marginalized communities. Schools can play a proactive role by reaching out to parents, creating culturally responsive engagement strategies, and partnering with local organizations to rebuild social capital. By strengthening relational ties and enhancing the flow of information and support, schools can bridge the gap between homes and schools, leading to better educational outcomes for all students.

3. Cultural Reproduction Theory

Developed by French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu, it provides a powerful lens through which to understand how educational systems contribute to the maintenance of existing social inequalities. At the heart of this theory is the concept of cultural capital, the non-financial social assets that individuals inherit and acquire, such as language proficiency, educational background, dispositions, and familiarity with dominant cultural norms. According to Bourdieu, schools are not neutral institutions; rather, they often reflect the values, behaviors, and knowledge of the dominant social class. As a result, children from more privileged backgrounds—those with greater access to cultural capital—are better positioned to succeed in the formal education system.

In the context of elementary education, this theory helps explain why some parents are more actively and effectively involved in their children's schooling than others are. Parents with high levels of cultural capital—for instance, those with higher education, fluency in the dominant language, and experience navigating bureaucratic systems—are typically more confident and comfortable engaging with the school personnel. They are more likely to attend parent-teacher conferences, join school committees, question curriculum choices, and advocate for additional support or enrichment opportunities for their children. These parents understand the "rules of the game" within educational institutions and use their cultural knowledge to benefit their children, often unconsciously reinforcing their social advantage.

In contrast, parents with limited cultural capital, often from working-class or marginalized backgrounds, may struggle to engage with schools in the same way. They may find educational jargons intimidating, lack confidence in interacting with teachers, or feel that their knowledge and contributions are undervalued by the school system.

These barriers can be even more pronounced for immigrant families or those from non-dominant cultural or linguistic backgrounds. Such parents may prioritize education highly and deeply care about their children's success, but the institutional expectations of how to be "involved" may not align with their experiences, resources, or comfort levels. Consequently, these families can become alienated from school participation, not due to disinterest but due to structural and cultural misalignments.

This process of cultural reproduction ensures that the educational success of children is closely tied to their families' cultural capital, thus perpetuating social stratification across generations. Schools, often unintentionally, reward the cultural competencies of the dominant class while failing to recognize or adapt to the diverse strengths and backgrounds of all students and families. As a result, students from less-privileged backgrounds may face academic disadvantages as well as a lack of representation and affirmation within the school culture.

4. Resource Mobilization Theory

Originates from the field of sociology and provides a valuable framework for understanding how collective action, such as community and parental participation in education, is influenced not only by motivation or concern but also by the availability, organization, and strategic use of resources. According to this theory, the success of collective efforts depends heavily on the ability to acquire and mobilize both material resources (such as money, infrastructure, and physical supplies) and non-material resources (such as skills, time, leadership, and networks). In the context of elementary education, this theory helps explain why schools and communities with greater access to resources are often more effective at engaging parents and achieving positive educational outcomes.

In economically affluent communities, schools benefit from a wide range of mobilizable resources. Parents in these areas often have higher levels of education, stable employment, flexible work schedules, and professional expertise, all of which can contribute to the school environment. These families are more likely to donate funds, volunteer their time, and participate in decision-making bodies such as school boards or Parent-Teacher Associations (PTAs). Wealthier communities may also have well-established networks with local businesses, non-profits, and public institutions that can provide additional funding, materials, or services. Consequently, these schools are better equipped to support enrichment programs, extracurricular activities, advanced technology, and academic interventions that go beyond the basic curriculum. The cumulative effect of these resource advantages led to higher levels of student achievement and overall school performance.

In stark contrast, economically disadvantaged communities often face significant barriers to resource mobilization. Parents in these areas may work multiple jobs with inflexible hours, lack reliable transportation, or have limited education

and language proficiency, all of which constrain their ability to engage in school. Financial contributions may be minimal or absent, and there may be fewer local businesses or community organizations willing or able to offer support. In many cases, schools serving low-income populations are already underfunded and overburdened, struggling to meet their basic operational needs. Without the resources to organize and sustain active participation, these communities face structural limitations that hinder their ability to meaningfully contribute to the educational process.

5. Critical Theory

Critical Theory emphasizes the structural inequalities that hinder equitable participation in education. It examines how systemic issues such as poverty, discrimination, and marginalization create barriers for parents and communities to meaningfully engage in school activities. This perspective advocates transformative approaches to address inequities and empower marginalized groups.

By applying these theoretical perspectives, researchers and policymakers can gain a nuanced understanding of how socioeconomic factors influence parental and community participation in elementary school education. This understanding is crucial for developing targeted interventions to bridge the participation gaps and promote equity in education.

Parental Participation: Impact of Socioeconomic Factors

Parental participation is a critical element in ensuring the academic success and holistic development of students at the elementary level. However, the extent and quality of parental involvement often vary significantly based on socioeconomic factors, shaping how parents engage with their children's education.

1. Influence of Parental Income on Educational Participation

Economic stability plays a fundamental role in parents' involvement. Parents with higher income levels are more likely to provide their children with educational resources such as books, technology, and access to extracurricular activities. They are also more likely to attend parent-teacher meetings and participate in school governance. In contrast, parents from low-income backgrounds may face financial constraints that limit their ability to support school-related activities or afford additional educational tools.

2. Educational Attainment and Its Role in Engagement

Parental educational background significantly impacts children's involvement in their schooling. Parents with higher levels of education often have a greater awareness of the importance of education and a better understanding of how to navigate school systems. They are more likely to help with homework, communicate effectively with teachers, and advocate their children's needs. However, parents with limited formal education may feel less confident or equipped to engage in school activities, which can result in lower levels of participation.

3. Employment Status and Time Constraints

Employment patterns also influenced parental participation. Parents in stable, flexible jobs may have the time and energy to attend school events, help with homework, and volunteer in school programs. Conversely, parents in low-wage or demanding jobs, particularly those requiring long or irregular hours, often find it challenging to allocate time for educational involvement even if they are highly motivated.

4. Cultural and Social Capital

Socioeconomic factors also shape the cultural and social capital that parents bring about educational participation. Families with greater cultural capital, such as language proficiency, familiarity with the education system, and access to supportive networks, are better positioned to engage with schools and support their children's education. Parents from marginalized communities may face linguistic or systemic barriers that can hinder effective engagement with teachers and administrators.

5. Barriers to Participation in Economically Disadvantaged Families

Parents from economically disadvantaged families often encounter multiple barriers to participation, including:

- Lack of access to transportation for attending school events.
- Limited awareness of the importance of parental involvement due to systemic marginalization.
- Psychological stress or stigma associated with poverty may discourage interaction with educators or school authorities.

6. Implications for Student Outcomes

The disparities in parental participation influenced by socioeconomic factors directly impact student outcomes.

Higher parental involvement, often linked to higher SES, is associated with improved academic performance, greater motivation, and better social skills in children. By contrast, limited parental engagement, often a result of socioeconomic challenges, can hinder children's learning and overall development.

Community Engagement in Elementary Education

Community engagement is the cornerstone of effective elementary education, fostering a collaborative environment in which schools, parents, and local stakeholders work together to enhance educational outcomes. It encompasses a range of activities from volunteering and resource mobilization to advocacy and support for school programs. Community involvement is particularly vital at the elementary level, where young learners benefit from a cohesive support system that reinforces their academic, emotional, and social development.

Communities play a crucial role in bridging the gaps between schools and families. By providing resources, such as infrastructure, funding, and volunteer support, communities enable schools to implement programs that might otherwise be unattainable. Additionally, community engagement fosters a sense of shared responsibility for education, promotes accountability, and ensures that schools align with the local cultural and social context.

Socioeconomic factors often influence the level and nature of community engagement. Affluent communities are more likely to contribute financial resources, access advanced educational tools and support extracurricular activities. Conversely, economically disadvantaged communities may struggle to provide such support, thereby limiting their ability to participate actively. However, even in resource-constrained settings, strong community networks can facilitate meaningful involvement through collective actions and shared resources.

Community engagement is an indispensable component of elementary education, contributing to the holistic development of students and overall success of schools. By addressing socioeconomic and systemic barriers, schools and policymakers can create an environment in which communities actively participate in shaping young learners' educational experiences. Strengthened partnerships between schools and communities can lead to sustainable improvements in education, empowering students and fostering social cohesion.

Strategies for Enhancing Participation across Socioeconomic Divides

Bridging socioeconomic divisions in parental and community participation is crucial for ensuring equitable educational opportunities for all students. Socioeconomic disparities often hinder active involvement; however, targeted strategies can help create inclusive frameworks that encourage participation from diverse economic backgrounds. The following approaches aim to address these challenges and foster engagement across socioeconomic boundaries:

1. **Flexible Participation Opportunities**

Offering diverse ways to participate ensures that parents and community members with varying schedules, resources, and commitments can meaningfully contribute. Examples include:

- **Virtual Engagement:** Online meetings and forums for those unable to attend in person.
- **Short-Term Volunteering:** Events and tasks that require minimal time investment.
- **Task-Specific Roles:** Assigning responsibilities based on individual skills or availability.

2. **Resource Sharing and Accessibility**

Providing necessary resources and support can empower economically disadvantaged families to engage with schools:

- **Transportation Support:** Offering free or subsidized transport for school events.
- **Childcare Services:** Organizing child care during meetings or workshops.

- **Accessible Communication:** Translating materials into local languages and using diverse communication channels to ensure inclusivity.

3. **Building Trust and Collaboration**

In many underserved communities, lack of trust in educational institutions may deter participation. Schools can build trust by

- **Transparency:** Sharing clear, open updates about school policies and goals.
- **Frequent Communication:** Maintaining regular dialogue through newsletters, social media, or home visits.
- **Community Forums:** Hosting informal meetings to listen to concerns and suggestions from parents and stakeholders.

4. **Strengthening School-Community Partnerships**

Collaborating with local organizations can amplify efforts to engage families and communities. Examples include:

- **Nonprofit Partnerships:** Leveraging NGOs to provide resources or run workshops.
- **Civic Engagement Programs:** Working with local governments to promote education awareness.
- **Business Collaborations:** Engaging local businesses to sponsor school initiatives or provide mentorship programs.

5. **Empowering Parent and Community Leadership**

Involving parents and community members in decision-making fosters ownership and sustained participation:

- **Parent-Teacher Associations (PTAs):** Establishing inclusive PTAs that reflect the socioeconomic diversity of the community.
- **Advisory Councils:** Inviting community leaders to contribute to school governance.
- **Training Programs:** Equipping parents with skills to support their children's education and advocate for systemic changes.

6. **Tailored Engagement Initiatives**

Understanding and addressing the specific needs of different socioeconomic groups can enhance participation:

- **Surveys and Needs Assessments:** Conducting research to identify barriers to engagement in specific communities.
- **Culturally Relevant Activities:** Designing programs that align with local traditions and values.
- **Differentiated Support Systems:** Providing financial aid or other resources to enable participation in low-income families.

7. **Recognizing and Celebrating Contributions**

Acknowledging the efforts of parents and community members fosters a sense of value and encourages ongoing participation.

- **Awards and Recognition Events:** Honoring active participants publicly.

- **Success Stories:** Sharing impactful examples of engagement through newsletters or community meetings.
- **Inclusive Celebrations:** Organizing school events that celebrate diverse cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds.

Enhancing participation across socioeconomic divides requires intentional strategies that address systemic barriers, while promoting inclusivity and collaboration. By adopting flexible approaches, building trust, and fostering partnerships, schools can create an environment in which all parents and community members feel empowered to contribute to their children's educational journey. Equitable participation not only enriches the educational experience for students, but also strengthens community bonds and fosters social equity.

Conclusion

In conclusion, socioeconomic factors significantly shaped the extent and nature of parental and community participation in elementary education. Higher socioeconomic status often correlates with greater engagement, as families and communities with access to resources, educational awareness, and social capital are better positioned to contribute to their children's educational journey.

Conversely, those from disadvantaged backgrounds face numerous barriers, such as financial constraints, time limitations, and systemic inequities, which hinder their ability to participate actively. Addressing these disparities requires intentional strategies to bridge socioeconomic divides, such as fostering inclusive engagement opportunities, building trust between schools and communities, and providing resources and support to marginalized families. By prioritizing equity in educational involvement, stakeholders can create a more inclusive and supportive environment that empowers all students, enhances educational outcomes, and strengthens the bonds between schools, parents, and communities.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References:
1. Ahmad, Iqbal. (2013). Effect of Community Participation in Education on Quality of Education: Evidence from a Developing Context. Journal of Education and Vocational Research. 4. 293-299. 10.22610/jevr.v4i10.133.
2. Charungkaittikul, Suwithida & Piseth, Neak. (2021). PROPOSED STRATEGIES TO STRENGTHEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS IN THE KINGDOM OF CAMBODIA.
3. Das, Animesh & Rakesh, Prof. (2024). UNVEILING THE SYNERGY BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND INDIAN TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE IN SCHOOL EDUCATION: INSIGHTS FROM NEP 2020 & NCF-SE 2023. International Journal of Advanced Research. 12. 1181-1185. 10.21474/IJAR01/18661.
4. Diez-Palomar, Javier & Gatt, Suzanne & Racionero, Sandra. (2011). Placing Immigrant and Minority Family and Community Members at the School's Centre: The role of community participation. European Journal of Education. 46. 184 - 196. 10.1111/j.1465-3435.2011.01474.x.
5. Hakim, Lukman. (2022). Controlling Role in Collaborative and Consultative Community Participation in Education Implementation of Primary School. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan. 14. 329-334. 10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.1947.
6. Hakim, Lukman. (2022). Strategies for Increasing Community Participation in Education Implementation in Madrasah Aliyah. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan. 14. 6933-6940. 10.35445/alishlah.v14i4.2750.
7. Iyengar, Radhika. (2021). Rethinking community participation in education post Covid-19. PROSPECTS. 51. 10.1007/s11125-020-09538-2.
8. Kendall, Nancy & Kaunda, Zikani & Friedson-Ridenour, Sophia. (2015). Community participation in international development education quality improvement efforts: current paradoxes and opportunities. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability. 27. 10.1007/s11092-015-9210-0.
9. M.B. Jean-Baptiste, Sanfo. (2020). Leaving no place behind: Community participation and primary school students' learning achievements in Burkina Faso's small-scale gold mining communities. International Journal of Educational Research Open. 1. 100010. 10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100010.
10. Pradhan, Menno & Suryadarma, Daniel & Beatty, Amanda & Wong, Maisy & Alishjabana, Armida & Gaduh, Arya & Prama, Rima. (2011). Improving Educational Quality Through Enhancing Community Participation: Results From a Randomized Field Experiment in

Indonesia. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics. 6. 10.2139/ssrn.1862143.

11. Rout, Gyanendra. (2013). Community participation in education: A changing pattern of educational administration in India. International Journal of Research Studies in Education. 2. 10.5861/ijrse.2013.231.

12. Shields, Katherine & Moffa, Michelle & Behnke, Nikki & Kelly, Emma & Klug, Tori & Lee, Kristen & Bartram, Jamie. (2021). Community management does not equate to participation: fostering community participation in rural water supplies. Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development. 11. 10.2166/washdev.2021.089.

13. Singleton, A. C. (2005). Think globally, act locally: An analysis of community participation discourse in education for all national action plans.

14. Sumarsono, R. B., Imron, A., Wiyono, B. B., & Arifin, I. 2016. Parent's Participation in Improving the Quality of Elementary School in the Malang of City, East Java, Indonesia. International Education Studies; Vol.9, No. 10; 2016.

15. Swift-Morgan, Jennifer. (2006). What Community Participation in Schooling Means: Insights from Southern Ethiopia. http://lst-iiep.iiep-unesco.org/cgi-bin/wwwi32.exe/[in=epidoc1.in]/?t2000=023328/ (100). 76. 10.17763/haer.76.3.e475j32j69q27x63.