



Original Article

# Science Communication and Environmental Awareness

Suhas Dhakate Tohgaonkar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Rajshekhar Hiremath<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Kasturba College of Education, Solapur

<sup>2</sup>Principal, SVCS B.Ed. College, Solapur

Manuscript ID:  
RIGJAAR-2025-0203031

ISSN: 2998-4459

Volume 2

Issue 3

Pp. 152-154

March 2025

Submitted: 15 Feb 2025

Revised: 20 Feb 2025

Accepted: 15 Mar 2025

Published: 31 Mar 2025

Correspondence Address:  
Suhas Dhakate Tohgaonkar  
Research Scholar, Kasturba  
College of Education, Solapur  
Email:

[suhas.tohgaonkar05@gmail.com](mailto:suhas.tohgaonkar05@gmail.com)

Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://rlgjaar.com>



DOI:  
10.5281/zenodo.16156435

DOI Link:  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16156435>



Creative Commons



## Abstract

The research investigates the role of science communication in promoting environmental awareness. In a world increasingly impacted by environmental challenges such as climate change, deforestation, and biodiversity loss, effective communication is crucial for translating scientific knowledge into public action. This study aims to examine the effectiveness of science communication in raising environmental consciousness and its role in shaping public policy and behavior. Using a mixed-method approach, this research evaluates communication strategies, public perception, and the barriers to effective science dissemination. The findings underscore the importance of clear, engaging, and participatory communication in fostering sustainable practices and policy changes. In the face of escalating global environmental challenges, the ability to effectively communicate scientific information to the public has become increasingly vital. This study explores the pivotal role of science communication in enhancing environmental awareness and influencing both individual behavior and policy decisions. Adopting a mixed-method approach, the research assesses public understanding, identifies key barriers to effective science dissemination, and evaluates the impact of various communication channels such as media, education, and public campaigns. Findings reveal that while public awareness of environmental issues is widespread, deep scientific understanding remains limited, often hindered by misinformation, complexity of content, and sociopolitical influences. Successful case studies, including global environmental movements, demonstrate that participatory, simplified, and culturally sensitive communication strategies are essential for fostering sustainable engagement. The study underscores the need for innovative, inclusive, and evidence-based communication approaches to bridge the gap between scientific knowledge and societal action.

**Keywords:** Science Communication, Environmental Awareness, Climate Change, Public Engagement, Media, Communication Strategies, Environmental Policy.

## Introduction

The relationship between science and society has become increasingly complex, especially when addressing issues that have widespread and long-term environmental consequences. Environmental challenges such as climate change, loss of biodiversity, water scarcity, and pollution are growing threats that require immediate and sustained action from individuals, communities, governments, and industries. However, the effectiveness of policy interventions and individual behavioral changes often depends on the public's understanding of the science behind these issues. This brings to light the crucial role of science communication in fostering environmental awareness. Science communication, which involves the dissemination of scientific knowledge to non-expert audiences, plays a key role in how people understand and respond to environmental issues. Effective communication can motivate sustainable behavior, influence policy, and drive the collective action necessary for environmental conservation. However, the challenge lies not only in the dissemination of scientific knowledge but in ensuring that the message resonates with and engages the public, overcoming misinformation and overcoming communication barriers.

## Need for the Research Study:

While significant scientific research has been conducted on environmental issues, a large gap remains in terms of translating this knowledge into public understanding and action. Miscommunication or lack of communication often leads to confusion, skepticism, and delay in addressing critical environmental issues.

## Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

## How to cite this article:

Tohgaonkar, S. D., & Hiremath, R. (2025). Science Communication and Environmental Awareness. *Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research*, 2(3), 152-154. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16156435>

The need to assess the effectiveness of science communication strategies and explore new methodologies for engaging the public has never been more urgent.

#### Objectives of the Study:

1. To investigate the role of science communication in raising environmental awareness among the general public.
2. To identify key barriers and challenges in the effective communication of environmental issues.
3. To assess the influence of media, education, and public engagement in shaping attitudes toward environmental conservation.
4. To explore successful case studies of science communication in the environmental context.
5. To suggest recommendations for improving science communication strategies to promote environmental sustainability.

#### Literature Review

In order to understand the need and impact of science communication in the context of environmental awareness, a review of existing literature is essential. A large body of research highlights the growing importance of science communication in the 21st century, particularly in relation to the environment.

#### Science Communication Theories

Science communication theories explore how science is presented to the public and how this influences public perception. Models like the "deficit model" (where the public is seen as lacking knowledge and thus needs to be "educated") have been critiqued. In contrast, models that emphasize dialogue and engagement have gained traction, promoting more interactive forms of communication.

#### Environmental Awareness and Behavioral Change

Research shows that public awareness of environmental issues does not automatically lead to behavioral change. Theories on environmental psychology explore how attitudes, values, and beliefs shape people's behaviors. Social norms and community engagement also play critical roles in translating awareness into action.

#### Media Influence on Environmental Awareness

The role of media, including traditional media, social media, and digital platforms, in shaping public understanding of environmental issues has been widely studied. Media has the power to shape narratives, frame environmental problems, and mobilize collective action.

#### Research Methodology

The study follows a mixed-method approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative research methods to gather a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of science communication in fostering environmental awareness.

#### Research Design:

A descriptive research design was employed to examine the relationships between science communication strategies and environmental awareness. Surveys, interviews, and case studies were used to collect data from a

diverse range of participants, including environmental experts, communicators, policymakers, and the general public.

#### Data Collection:

- **Primary Data:** Surveys and interviews were conducted with a sample group of 500 respondents, which included environmental professionals, students, and the general public. Surveys focused on public awareness, attitudes toward environmental issues, and perceived effectiveness of science communication. Interviews were conducted with communication experts to explore strategies and challenges in communicating environmental science.
- **Secondary Data:** A review of previous studies, reports, and media campaigns was conducted to assess existing strategies and their outcomes.

#### Sampling Technique:

A stratified random sampling method was used to ensure a representative sample from various demographic groups, including age, education level, geographical location, and professional background.

#### Techniques of Data Analysis

The data collected from surveys and interviews were analyzed using both **qualitative** and **quantitative** techniques:

#### Quantitative Analysis:

Survey responses were analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean, frequency, percentages) to assess the level of environmental awareness, the impact of media exposure, and the public's understanding of key environmental issues.

#### Qualitative Analysis:

Interviews were transcribed and coded using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to science communication strategies, barriers, and successful case studies. This helped provide deeper insights into the effectiveness of various communication approaches.

#### Findings / Results

##### Public Awareness and Understanding:

The study found that while the majority of respondents (72%) had heard of key environmental issues like climate change, plastic pollution, and deforestation, only 30% demonstrated a deep understanding of the science behind these issues. Awareness was found to be correlated with exposure to media outlets (news, social media, educational platforms).

##### The Role of Media:

Media plays a crucial role in shaping public understanding, with 65% of participants reporting that their main source of information about environmental issues comes from television and online platforms. However, the study also found that sensationalized media coverage often misrepresents or oversimplifies complex scientific concepts.

##### Barriers to Effective Communication:

The major barriers identified included:

- **Misinformation:** False or misleading information circulated by social media platforms (e.g., climate change denial).
- **Cultural and political factors:** Environmental issues are often politicized, creating division and impeding consensus.
- **Complexity of scientific content:** Many respondents reported difficulty understanding complex scientific concepts, indicating the need for simplified communication.

#### Case Studies of Successful Communication:

Case studies like the “Fridays for Future” movement and the role of the **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)** in disseminating clear, data-driven reports were highlighted as successful examples of science communication that have inspired global awareness and policy changes.

#### Discussion

The findings suggest that science communication is crucial for increasing environmental awareness but requires more than just the dissemination of information. Effective communication must involve simplifying complex scientific data, addressing misinformation, and engaging the public through interactive and participatory approaches. It is also essential to address cultural, political, and social contexts to ensure that the messages resonate with diverse audiences.

Additionally, the study highlights that public awareness alone is insufficient for driving behavioral change. Environmental communication needs to be coupled with policy interventions and community engagement strategies that translate awareness into concrete action.

#### Conclusion

This research confirms the importance of science communication in raising environmental awareness and addressing global environmental challenges. Effective communication strategies, such as the use of digital media, storytelling, and participatory approaches, are essential for engaging the public and driving sustainable change. However, challenges such as misinformation, complexity of scientific content, and political resistance remain significant barriers. Future efforts should focus on enhancing communication strategies to make environmental science more accessible, engaging, and actionable.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Kasturbai College of Education, Solapur, and SVCS B.Ed. College, Solapur for their constant encouragement and academic support during the course of this research. Special thanks to the participants who contributed their time and insights through surveys and interviews, making this study possible. We are also thankful to our colleagues and mentors for their valuable feedback and guidance. Their support has been instrumental in the successful completion of this work.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References (in ABC Style)

1. Anderson, P. (2020). *Science Communication in the Digital Age*. Oxford University Press.
2. Brossard, D., & Scheufele, D. A. (2013). Science, new media, and the public. *Science*, 339(6115), 40-41.
3. Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (2017). *Social Cognition: From Brains to Culture*. Wiley.
4. Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). *Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research*. Sage Publications.
5. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211(4481), 453-458.
6. United Nations (2018). *World Population Prospects: The 2018 Revision*. UN Population Division.
7. Anderson, A. A., Brossard, D., Scheufele, D. A., Xenos, M. A., & Ladwig, P. (2012). The “nasty effect:” Online incivility and risk perceptions of emerging technologies. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(3), 373-387. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12009>
8. Bucchi, M., & Trench, B. (2014). Science communication and science in society: A conceptual review in ten keywords. *Tecnoscienza*, 5(2), 151-168.
9. Corner, A., Shaw, C., & Clarke, J. (2018). Principles for effective communication and public engagement on climate change: A Handbook for IPCC authors. Climate Outreach. Retrieved from <https://climateoutreach.org>
10. Dudo, A. (2015). Scientists, the media, and the public communication of science. *Sociology Compass*, 9(9), 761-775. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12308>
11. Leiserowitz, A., Maibach, E., Roser-Renouf, C., Feinberg, G., & Rosenthal, S. (2020). Climate change in the American mind: Data, tools, and trends. Yale Program on Climate Change Communication. <https://climatecommunication.yale.edu>
12. Nisbet, M. C., & Scheufele, D. A. (2009). What's next for science communication? Promising directions and lingering distractions. *American Journal of Botany*, 96(10), 1767-1778. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.0900041>
13. OECD. (2018). *Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook*. OECD Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1787/sti\\_in\\_outlook-2018-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/sti_in_outlook-2018-en)
14. Scheufele, D. A. (2013). Communicating science in social settings. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(Supplement 3), 14040-14047. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213275110>
15. UNESCO. (2021). *Science for a Sustainable Future*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. <https://www.unesco.org>
16. Weingart, P., & Guenther, L. (2016). Science communication and the issue of trust. *Journal of Science Communication*, 15(5), C01. <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.15050301>