



Original Article

Rising Brain Drain in India: An Empirical Examination of Post-Pandemic Migration Trends

Dr. Veeranna A Ningoji¹, Dr. Nikshep Budihal²

¹Assistant Professor of Economics, Dr. P.G.A.S. Samiti's,
Pandit Panchaxara Gavaigalavar Arts and Commerce College, Gadag, Karnataka

²Research academician, Goa

Manuscript ID:
RIGJAAR-2026-030105

ISSN: 2998-4459
Volume 3
Issue 1
Pp. 33-37
January 2026

Submitted: 07 Dec. 2025
Revised: 15 Dec. 2025
Accepted: 10 Jan. 2026
Published: 31 Jan. 2026

Correspondence Address:
Dr. Veeranna A Ningoji
Assistant Professor of Economics, Dr.
P.G.A.S. Samiti's, Pandit Panchaxara
Gavaigalavar Arts and Commerce
College, Gadag, Karnataka
Email: ningojiva@gmail.com

Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://rlgjaar.com>



DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.18522867

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18522867>



Creative Commons



Abstract

The phenomenon of brain drain has remained a persistent development concern for India, particularly in the context of increasing global demand for skilled labour. This study examines recent trends in outward migration from India and evaluates their implications for national development using a time-series analytical framework. Relying on secondary data sourced from official government publications for the period 2020–2024, the study employs a simple time-trend regression model to test whether outward migration has increased significantly over time. The empirical results reveal a strong and statistically significant upward trend in migration, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis of no increase in outward migration. The findings indicate that brain drain in India has intensified in the post-pandemic period, reflecting structural push and pull factors rather than short-term fluctuations. While the growing outflow of skilled human capital raises concerns regarding domestic skill shortages, productivity, and returns on public investment in education, the study also recognises the offsetting role of remittances and diaspora engagement in supporting economic stability. The paper concludes that brain drain in India represents a complex development challenge that requires policy responses aimed at promoting skill retention, return migration, and effective utilisation of diaspora networks to transform brain drain into brain circulation.

Keywords: Brain Drain; Outward Migration; Human Capital; Time-Series Regression; Economic Development; India.

Introduction

Brain drain refers to the large-scale emigration of educated and skilled individuals from their country of origin to other nations offering better employment prospects, higher wages, advanced research facilities, and improved living standards. In the context of developing economies, brain drain is often viewed as a serious development challenge because it represents a loss of human capital that is essential for economic growth, innovation, and institutional strengthening. India, despite being one of the fastest-growing major economies in the world, has long experienced significant outflows of skilled professionals, particularly in fields such as information technology, healthcare, engineering, and higher education. The phenomenon has intensified in the post-globalization era, supported by international labor mobility, digital recruitment platforms, and demand for skilled manpower in developed countries.

In recent years, the issue of brain drain has gained renewed attention due to rising overseas migration after the COVID-19 pandemic. Official data from the Ministry of External Affairs indicate a sharp increase in emigration clearances from India since 2021, reflecting renewed global labor demand and persistent domestic constraints such as wage differentials, limited research infrastructure, and employment mismatches. While migration offers individual economic benefits and contributes positively through remittances, it simultaneously raises concerns about shortages of skilled professionals in critical sectors within India. For instance, the outward migration of doctors, nurses, and technical experts has implications for public health delivery, innovation capacity, and productivity growth.

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article:

Ningoji, V. A., & Budihal, N. (2026). Rising Brain Drain in India: An Empirical Examination of Post-Pandemic Migration Trends. *Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research*, 3(1), 33–37. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18522867>

At the same time, the traditional view of brain drain as a purely negative phenomenon has been challenged by newer perspectives emphasizing “brain circulation.” These perspectives argue that migrants contribute to their home country through remittances, foreign direct investment, knowledge transfer, and return migration. India’s position as the world’s largest recipient of remittances highlights this dual nature of skilled migration. Against this backdrop, the present study seeks to empirically examine recent trends in India’s brain drain using time-series data and to statistically test whether outward migration has increased significantly in recent years. The study further discusses the broader development implications of this trend, balancing both costs and potential gains to the Indian economy.

Literature Review

Early theoretical discussions on brain drain highlighted its adverse implications for developing economies, particularly the loss of human capital and reduced returns on public investment in education. Bhagwati and Hamada (1974) argued that skilled migration from developing countries leads to a net welfare loss when emigrants are trained using public resources and contribute their productivity abroad. This foundational work established brain drain as a structural development concern rather than a temporary labor market outcome. Todaro and Smith (2020) revisited the issue within the framework of development economics, emphasizing that migration decisions are influenced by expected income differentials rather than actual wage gaps. Their analysis suggests that persistent unemployment and underemployment in developing economies like India continue to push skilled workers toward international labor markets, reinforcing outward migration trends even during periods of domestic growth.

Docquier and Rapoport (2012) introduced a more nuanced perspective by distinguishing between pure brain drain and potential brain gain. Their empirical work demonstrated that under certain conditions, the possibility of migration can encourage higher investment in education, partially offsetting the negative effects of skilled emigration. However, they caution that such gains are unevenly distributed across countries and skill categories. World Bank (2023) migration reports indicate that India remains one of the largest contributors to global skilled migration, particularly in healthcare and information technology. The report highlights that while remittances from migrants contribute significantly to macroeconomic stability, shortages of skilled professionals persist in domestic sectors, suggesting an imbalance between external gains and internal needs.

Clemens (2014) challenged the traditional pessimistic view of brain drain by arguing that migration restrictions often impose greater welfare losses than migration itself. Using cross-country evidence, he suggested that skilled migration can generate positive spillovers through remittances, trade networks, and institutional learning, although these benefits depend on strong domestic policy frameworks. In the Indian context, Kapur (2010) provided an in-depth analysis of the Indian diaspora and its economic impact. He argued that overseas Indians have played a crucial role in promoting India’s integration into the global economy, particularly through technology

transfer and entrepreneurship. However, he also noted that these benefits are more pronounced in urban and already developed regions, potentially widening internal disparities.

OECD (2023) data on international migration reveal that Indian professionals constitute a significant share of foreign-trained workers in developed economies. The report emphasizes that the sustained demand for Indian talent reflects both the quality of India’s education system and the limitations of domestic labor absorption, reinforcing long-term migration pressures. Raghuram (2019) examined the migration of healthcare professionals from India and found that outward mobility has contributed to staffing shortages in public health systems, particularly in rural areas. The study stresses that while individual migration decisions are rational, their cumulative effect poses challenges for equitable service delivery and public welfare. Reserve Bank of India (2024) analyses on remittances suggest that India’s position as the world’s largest remittance recipient has strengthened household resilience and supported consumption-led growth. However, the report also acknowledges that remittance inflows cannot fully compensate for the loss of skilled labor in strategic sectors such as research and higher education.

Kone and Özden (2017) studied return migration and argued that returnees often bring enhanced skills, savings, and professional networks. Their findings suggest that policy incentives encouraging return migration can convert brain drain into brain circulation, though such outcomes depend heavily on domestic institutional quality. Chand and Srivastava (2022) analyzed skilled migration trends in India using time-series data and found a statistically significant upward trend in outward mobility after 2015. They attributed this trend to globalization, digital labor markets, and rising international demand for STEM professionals, aligning with recent post-pandemic migration patterns. International Labour Organization (2024) reports highlight the role of global skill shortages in accelerating migration from developing countries. The report notes that India’s workforce is increasingly integrated into global labor supply chains, which, while beneficial for employment generation, intensifies concerns regarding domestic skill retention.

Agrawal et al. (2011) examined the role of diaspora networks in innovation and found that emigrant scientists and engineers often contribute to knowledge flows back to their home countries. In the Indian case, the study found evidence of increased patenting and collaborative research linked to diaspora connections, indicating indirect developmental benefits. Lucas (2023) revisited the economics of migration and development, arguing that the long-term impact of brain drain depends on complementary domestic reforms. He emphasized that education quality, labor market flexibility, and research infrastructure determine whether skilled migration becomes a constraint or an opportunity for development. Ministry of External Affairs (2024) migration statistics confirm a sharp rise in emigration clearances from India in recent years. While these figures do not capture all forms of skilled migration, they provide strong empirical support for the argument that outward mobility has intensified, reinforcing concerns raised in both national and international literature.

Objectives

1. To empirically examine the trend in outward migration from India during the period 2020–2024 using regression-based analysis.
2. To analyse the implications of increasing skilled migration for India’s development with reference to human capital formation, labour markets, and remittance-led economic effects.

Hypothesis

H0: There is no statistically significant increase in India’s outward migration during the period 2020–2024.

H1: There is a statistically significant increase in India’s outward migration during the period 2020–2024.

Research Methodology

The present study adopts an empirical and analytical research design based exclusively on secondary data. Data on outward migration have been collected from official government sources, primarily the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, which publishes annual emigration clearance statistics. These figures are used as a proxy indicator for international labor migration and provide a consistent time-series dataset suitable for trend analysis. Additional supporting information has been drawn from reports published by international organizations such as the World Bank, publications of the Reserve Bank of India, and existing academic literature on migration and development. The study covers a five-year

Regression Result Analysis

The estimated regression results are presented in Table 1.

Table-01: Time-Series Regression Results for Outward Migration from India (2020–2024)

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Intercept (α)	78,420.35	21,310.44	3.68	0.035
Time (Year)	74,915.82	9,842.17	7.61	0.004
R ²	0.91			
Adjusted R ²	0.88			
F-statistic	57.94			0.004

Source: Author’s calculation, Computed from Ministry of External Affairs emigration data.

Table-01: The regression results provide strong statistical evidence of an increasing trend in outward migration from India over the study period. The estimated coefficient of the time variable is positive and statistically significant at the 1 per cent level, as indicated by a t-value of 7.61 and a p-value well below the conventional 0.05 threshold. This implies that, on average, outward migration increased by approximately 74,916 individuals per year during the period under study. The magnitude of the coefficient reflects a substantial annual rise, rather than a marginal or random fluctuation, suggesting a structural upward shift in migration patterns rather than a short-term anomaly.

The intercept term is also statistically significant, indicating a non-zero baseline level of migration even at the beginning of the period. While the intercept itself has limited economic interpretation in a time-trend model, its significance reinforces the overall robustness of the estimated relationship. The high value of the coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.91$) indicates that time alone explains a very large proportion of the variation in outward migration during the period. This suggests that the upward

period from 2020 to 2024 in order to capture both the pandemic-induced disruption and the subsequent recovery in global migration flows. For analysis, descriptive statistical tools are employed to summarize migration patterns, while time-series analysis is used to observe changes in migration trends over time. To test the stated hypothesis, a paired sample t-test is applied by comparing average outward migration figures between the early and later years of the study period at a 5 per cent level of significance. Although emigration clearance data do not fully represent all forms of skilled migration, they are considered reliable for identifying broad trends and drawing meaningful inferences.

Results and Discussion

In order to examine whether outward migration from India has increased significantly over time, a simple time-series regression model was estimated using annual emigration clearance data for the period 2020–2024. The regression model treats outward migration as the dependent variable and time (year) as the independent variable. This approach is consistent with the stated methodology and allows for assessing whether there exists a statistically significant trend in outward migration over the study period. The estimated model is specified as follows:

$$\Delta \text{Migration}_t = \alpha + \beta t + \epsilon_t$$

where Migration represents outward migration from India, t denotes time, α is the intercept, β captures the trend coefficient, and ϵ_t is the error term.

movement in migration is systematic and closely linked with temporal factors such as post-pandemic global recovery, increased international labor demand, and sustained pull factors from developed economies.

The F-statistic further confirms the overall significance of the model, implying that the regression as a whole is statistically meaningful. Together, these results provide empirical support for rejecting the null hypothesis. The alternative hypothesis, which states that outward migration from India has increased significantly during the period 2020–2024, is therefore accepted.

Based on the regression results, the null hypothesis stating that there is no significant increase in outward migration was not able to accept due to insufficient evidence. The statistically significant time coefficient clearly indicates an increasing trend in migration, thereby validating concerns regarding intensifying brain drain during the study period.

Developmental Implications and Discussion

The statistically significant upward trend in outward migration has important implications for India’s

development. From a human capital perspective, the persistent rise in migration suggests an increasing outflow of skilled and semi-skilled labor, particularly from sectors that require long-term educational investment. This may exacerbate shortages in areas such as healthcare, higher education, and advanced technical services, thereby affecting productivity and service delivery within the domestic economy. The regression results indicate that this trend is not transitory but exhibits strong momentum, which raises concerns about the sustainability of India's skill base if compensatory mechanisms are not strengthened.

At the same time, the findings must be interpreted within a broader economic context. The increase in outward migration also corresponds with rising remittance inflows, which play a stabilizing role in India's balance of payments and support household consumption and investment. Thus, while the regression analysis confirms the existence of a statistically significant brain drain trend, it also underscores the dual nature of migration outcomes. The challenge for policy lies not merely in reducing migration but in improving domestic absorption capacity for skilled workers and creating conditions that encourage return migration and knowledge transfer.

Conclusion

The present study examined the issue of brain drain in India by analysing recent outward migration trends using time-series regression techniques. The empirical results clearly indicate a statistically significant upward trend in outward migration during the period 2020–2024, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. This finding confirms that brain drain in India has intensified in recent years rather than remaining a temporary or cyclical phenomenon. The regression analysis suggests that the increase in migration is systematic and closely associated with post-pandemic global labour demand, international wage differentials, and sustained pull factors from developed economies.

From a development perspective, the growing outflow of skilled human capital poses serious challenges. The loss of trained professionals represents a drain on public investment in education and may constrain productivity growth, innovation capacity, and service delivery in key sectors such as healthcare, higher education, and technology. At the same time, the study also acknowledges that outward migration contributes positively through remittances, diaspora networks, and knowledge linkages, which partially offset the adverse effects of skill loss. Therefore, brain drain in India cannot be viewed solely as a negative outcome but rather as a complex process with both costs and benefits.

The study concludes that policy efforts should focus on transforming brain drain into brain circulation by strengthening domestic employment opportunities, improving research and professional infrastructure, and actively engaging the Indian diaspora. Such a balanced approach is essential to ensure that skilled migration supports, rather than undermines, India's long-term development objectives.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, and the Reserve

Bank of India for making migration and remittance data publicly available, which formed the empirical basis of this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Agrawal, A., Kapur, D., McHale, J., & Oettl, A. (2011). Brain drain or brain bank? The impact of skilled emigration on poor-country innovation. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 69(1), 43–55.
2. Bhagwati, J., & Hamada, K. (1974). The brain drain, international integration of markets for professionals and unemployment. *Journal of Development Economics*, 1(1), 19–42.
3. Budihal, N. B. (2021). Development of women: An economic prosperity. *International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education (IJARIE)*, 7(03), 430-435. ISSN 2395-4396 (O).
4. Budihal, N. B. (2023). An econometric analysis of sectorial contribution to economic growth of Goa. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews (WJARR)*, 20(02), 563-570. ISSN 2581-9615 (O).
5. Budihal, N. B. (2023). Economics in action: The power of incentives. *International Journal of Current Science (IJCS PUB)*, 13(04), 876-883. ISSN 2250-1770 (O).
6. Budihal, N. B., & Kotagi, S. S. (2024). Exploring economic indicators: An analysis using ordinary least squares regression. *JuniKhyat Journal*, 14(04), 39-43. ISSN 2278-4632 (O).
7. Budihal, N. B., & Mugadur, N. S. (2021). An econometric analysis of international trade on economic growth of India. *Akshar Wangmay-International Research Journal*, 3(Special Issue-03), 62-65. ISSN 2229-4929 (P).
8. Chand, R., & Srivastava, S. (2022). Skilled migration from India: Trends and implications. *Indian Journal of Labour Economics*, 65(3), 517–536.
9. Clemens, M. A. (2014). Does development reduce migration? *International Handbook on Migration and Economic Development*. Edward Elgar.
10. Docquier, F., & Rapoport, H. (2012). Globalization, brain drain, and development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(3), 681–730.
11. International Labour Organization. (2024). *Global employment and migration outlook*. ILO Publications.
12. Kapur, D. (2010). *Diaspora, development, and democracy: The domestic impact of international migration from India*. Princeton University Press.
13. Kone, Z. L., & Özden, Ç. (2017). Brain drain, gain, and circulation. *World Bank Economic Review*, 31(1), 1–19.
14. Kotagi Suresh and Nikshep Budihal (2024). Population dynamics and Economic growth: An Econometric Analysis. *MuktShabd Journal*, 13(6), 1233-1241.
15. Lucas, R. E. B. (2023). *International migration and economic development*. Edward Elgar Publishing.



16. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. (2024). *Annual emigration statistics*. <https://www.mea.gov.in>
17. Ministry of External Affairs. (2024). *Annual emigration statistics*. Government of India.
18. Ningoji, V. A., & Budihal, N. (2025). *Agriculture and Technology: An Empirical Study of India's Agritech Adoption and Agricultural Productivity*. *Bulletion of Nexus*, 2(11), 6–12.
19. OECD. (2023). *International migration outlook*. OECD Publishing.
20. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *International migration outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org>
21. Raghuram, P. (2019). Migration, gender, and healthcare staffing. *Health Policy and Planning*, 34(5), 1–9.
22. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Handbook of statistics on the Indian economy*. <https://www.rbi.org.in>
23. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Remittances to India: Recent trends*. RBI Bulletin.
24. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Remittances to India: Trends and patterns*. RBI Bulletin. <https://www.rbi.org.in>
25. Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2020). *Economic development* (13th ed.). Pearson Education.
26. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2023). *International migration stock 2023*. <https://www.un.org>
27. World Bank. (2023). *Migration and development brief*. World Bank Publications.
28. World Bank. (2023). *Migration and development brief*. World Bank Publications. <https://www.worldbank.org>
29. World Bank. (2024). *World development indicators*. <https://data.worldbank.org>