Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN: 2998-4459 | Website: https://rlgjaar.comVolume-3, Issue-1 | January - 2026

Original Article

Digital Governance and Democratic Accountability in India: A Narrative Exploration

Dr. Tarannum Jabeen Khan
Assistant Professor, HOD of Political Science, BLDEA'S SB Arts and KCP Science College, Vijayapura

Manuscript ID:
RIGJAAR-2026-030124

ISSN: 2998-4459
Volume 3
Issue 1
Pp. 117-121
January 2026

Submitted: 15 Dec. 2025
Revised: 25 Dec. 2025
Accepted: 18 Jan. 2026
Published: 31 Jan. 2026

Correspondence Address:
Dr. Tarannum Jabeen Khan
Assistant Professor, HOD of Political Science, BLDEA'S SB Arts and KCP Science College, Vijayapura
Email: tarannumtaniya@gmail.com

Quick Response Code:

Web. https://rlgjaar.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.23525532

DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23525532

Creative Commons

Abstract

Digital governance has emerged as a transformative force in India, reshaping the relationship between citizens and the state through technology-enabled public services, digital platforms, and data-driven governance mechanisms. This paper explores the evolution of digital governance in India within the broader framework of democratic accountability. Through a narrative approach, it examines the promises and challenges of flagship initiatives such as Digital India, highlighting their impact on transparency, citizen participation, service delivery, and institutional accountability. The study discusses the role of digital platforms in amplifying citizens' voices, improving access to government services, and strengthening governance mechanisms. At the same time, it critically analyzes persistent concerns related to the digital divide, unequal access, privacy, data protection, centralized control, and the influence of power structures on democratic processes. The paper emphasizes the importance of transparency, audit mechanisms, data stewardship, and inclusive participation in ensuring that digital transformation contributes meaningfully to democratic governance. By integrating policy perspectives, institutional analysis, and citizen experiences, the study argues that the success of digital governance depends not only on technological advancement but also on its ability to promote trust, equity, and accountability. The paper concludes that while Digital India has made significant progress toward modernizing governance, sustained efforts are required to address structural inequalities and strengthen democratic values in the digital era.

Keywords: *Digital Governance; Democratic Accountability; Digital India; E-Governance; Citizen Participation; Transparency; Public Service Delivery; Data Privacy; Digital Inclusion; Accountability Mechanisms; Governance Reform; Digital Democracy.*

Introduction

Prologue: Threads of Light in a Vast Nation

The threads of light at twilight represent a testing moment for India's Digital Governance. They are harbingers of change. Governance at scale, for a country of over 1.4 billion people with diverse regional languages, cultures, and needs is extremely complex.

When sunlight and water met a year ago, on 7 November 2019 to be precise, a plant broke through the earth in a tiny area of a city in south India. Light and water met again just a week later. The following day a politician in a metropolitan city took the decision to launch a pilot project. A month later the first public announcement explained the theme, target, approach, and the technology strategy to about a dozen leaders in the capital. The pilot was launched, expanded, and by 2024 in the country's fifth largest city at least 1,700,000 people were benefiting to help them share their thoughts with policymakers.

Governance at scale is an all-encompassing concept. The laws, systems, processes, functions, services, and other such aspects span across centre, states, and local bodies. For each of these there are not just the topics and sub-topics but also a wide range of governance touchpoints catering to an individual with particular characteristics. Analysis of just one such governance ecosystem across six key digital platforms showed more than 250 topics associated with over 6,500 functions across these touchpoints (Udupa et al., 2019).

***Creative Commons** (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)*
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the idential terms.

How to cite this article:
Khan, T. J. (2026). Digital Governance and Democratic Accountability in India: A Narrative Exploration. Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research, 3(1), 117–121. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23525532

Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN: 2998-4459 | Website: https://rlgjaar.com Volume-3, Issue-1 | January - 2026

The Dawn of Digital India: Promises and Precisions

Digital India, an ambitious flagship program launched in 2015, set out to transform Indian society through digitization. The vision closely resembled earlier ambitions articulated in previous decades by such intellectuals and thinkers as the late Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi or eminent social scientist Vikram Sarabhai, who had prophesied that India—being a country of a billion people—could leapfrog development stages through appropriate technologies. However, they never realistically believed that digital leapfrogging would be feasible given prevailing power and privilege, conducted principally in the English language, and concentrated in urban locations. Not unexpectedly, Digital India was soon to undergo a metaphorical paradigm shift towards the instead "Digital-Attempt"—certainly, the most-acclaimed and admired Aatmanirbhar programme in the post-independence era—for the basic reason that the gigantic and massive economic systems and governance structures could hardly adapt, align, scale or comply with the umbilical cord of even the proverbial Big Bazaar as was so widely envisioned and expected. The present global governing trends on digital technology enable proper examination and scrutiny of the digital-governance initiatives on transparency and accountability both globally but particularly with a perspective of large, populous democracies such as the Republic of India (Fraunholz & Unnithan, 2006).

The Machinery of Governance: Systems, Services, and Citizens

Under Universal Electronic Governance System (UEGS) India has embarked on digital government. Digital governance has been distinguished as a sectorally diversified and electronically interconnected concrete hardware and software architecture of the programme. It is conceived on an interlinked and interdependent governance framework supported by a synergy of public, private and people partnership. Within the broad domain of digital governance as government systems/services/society there are basically four cohorts having huge number of sub-systems and facilitates an array of citizen touch-pocket through channels. Several citizen touch-pockets are mapped underpinning the interaction layer scrambling. Also depicted cross sector systems additional functional touch-pockets for citizen interaction. The flow chart signifies the entire apparatus for promoting the vast mandate of Digital India outlining citizen, government systems/services and their orientation. The still emerge separates digital systems and digital service and spelt the functionality within each domain along with references to specific GoI Digital Initiatives.

The Citizens' Voice: Access, Participation, and Trust

Access to public services, the opportunity to participate in decision-making, and faith in the system itself keep a democracy working. A citizen's voice reaches officials through a variety of pathways, from formal petitions to informal conversations, yet access to those pathways varies enormously from one person to the next. This section explores those experiences with a focus on the factors affecting access, participation, and trust across India's vast population and geography.

Gaps between privileged and marginalised groups occasionally misshape accountability. A well-off businessperson discovers a new factory is discharging waste into a public space. They lodge complaints with the relevant ministries via web forms, social media, and direct phone calls, and through established channels in the Focal Sector for Waste Management, Enforcement Issue, and Local Issue. No changes occur after multiple attempts, however. The firm is powerfully connected. An acquaintance in another ministry advises that complaints about a privately run facility raised in the relevant sector go unaddressed. What begins as an environmental issue becomes an accountability issue: if complaints about violations do not elicit action, does the system serve the public?

In another case, a woman in a village notices that fertiliser has not reached farmers although it was supposed to have been delivered. She sends messages via formal and informal channels, including a rural social media platform hosted by civil society, where similar grievances appear. The village still waits for fertiliser deliveries after a month. If deliveries do not occur within the anticipated time frame, they can be requested directly on the portal for the fertiliser scheme, which receives a government notification when two weeks pass without delivery. The village woman's irregularity goes unchecked; the outcome illustrates how a voice reaches the process but not the decision-makers.

Citizens favour digital tools for discovering and sharing information when they have access. Higher and intermediate education, email addresses, and smartphones bring substantial advantages; even so, access, familiarity, and comfort vary widely across location and demography. Platforms with higher traffic tend to provide better connection diagrams or visibility of other briefer connections. Information-rich announcements circulate through a variety of channels, and specific iterations on emergent platforms spread quickly across different regions. A person with limited education in a multi-caste village without urban proximity poses a striking example. There is both a lack of information and limited ability to reproduce and spread knowledge, coupled with restricted confidence in social interactions. (Epstein et al., 2012)

Guardians of Transparency: Institutions and Mechanisms

As guardians of accountability and trust, the institutions and mechanisms of transparency shape the interactions between citizens and digital systems of governance, determining whether the promise of Digital India is fulfilled or further slips from reach. The Constitution, extended through digital systems, lays down the frameworks of democracy and accountability. Implemented through laws, rules, policies, and processes, governance and the right to information constrain the exercise of executive power. The will and discretion of the Government of India and agencies form the fundamental basis of the public's trust. Absent this, citizens rely on independent arms of government to hold the executive accountable, including Parliament, the Supreme Audit

Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN: 2998-4459 | Website: https://rlgjaar.com Volume-3, Issue-1 | January - 2026

Institution, the right to information régime, the ombudsman, and other ombudspersons of various kinds. In an efficient digital architecture, transparency, equity, and empowerment are the three basic dimensions of accountability. When these dimensions are missing, trust is significantly eroded, even leading a formal democracy to emerge as electorally autocratic (Halberstam, 2015).

Auditing support both pre- and post-implementation and ensures operational continuity across service interruptions, enabling accountability, effectiveness, efficiency, value-for-money, and impact. Procedures, standards, and norms lay down the expectations of a digital system by requiring such audits. Compliance and effective implementation demand an audit regimen adhered to in full measure. Automatic records of transactions and actions constitute a digital audit trail that serves critical functions of tracking, verification, and reconstruction and remains in existence for the life of the data and of the system serving the processes in question. Accounting extends to other forms of data and usage—from a citizen's profile to security-related information—all of which must also be accounted. In the emerging paradigm of the Accounting Web, on-chain transactions build a public ledger detectable by any interested party, analogue to the public and statutory company registration systems. Accountability stems from the information furnished by the ledger and the reconcilement effort undertaken. The same transparency is achievable even where the underlying technologies do not align with a public ledger; the governing principle remains a record readily available to any external party—and not merely an internal record confined to the principal or executive themselves, who cannot be assumed to be fully trustworthy (Gorwa & Garton Ash, 2019).

Audits, Compliance, and The Public Ledger

Measuring the evolution of Digital India requires a multi-pronged audit: tracking the birth of governance machinery, the emergence of services, and the proximity of touchpoints to citizens (Bear, 2013). Auditing public services necessitates clear legal and policy obligations backed by compliance regimes. Auditing must also encompass accountability mechanisms, such as grievance redress, to capture the full citizen experience (Teboho Molete, 2008). A public ledger concept enhances the overall digital governance framework by enabling compliance audits of mandates incorporated into system design. When citizens are clear about entitlements, they can identify gaps and exercise voice for redress. Digital India aspires to a consistent, predictable, and assured set of services and entitlements—essentially a public ledger of citizen responsibilities, rights, and benefits.

The backbone of the Digital India program comprises twelve core principles, providing a holistic framework. Principles 8–12 specifically inform audit and compliance frameworks. Eight years after launch, the emergent program invites deep inspection of its governance facets and structures. A significant body of practitioner wisdom has coalesced, aiding comprehension of the multi-faceted phenomena at stake.

Data Stewardship, Privacy, and Rights

Embodied within the architecture of Digital India are enormous datasets with rich citizen-government interactions, spanning the poorest and most privileged of society. Amidst the tensions of voice and power, equity and access, privacy and engagement, the topic of data stewardship, its governance structures, approaches, and frameworks invites critical exploration (Shae Roberts & N Montoya, 2022). Data stewardship defines the ambit of governance when data becomes a product of government interactions, and articulates the requisite double vision of democratic governance—the right of citizens to participate in the life of their communities, and the necessity of the state to withhold some information to respect liberties within a democratic framework (Fraunholz & Unnithan, 2006).

Emerging with Digital India is a distinct right horizon, articulated by the T. P. Mehta Committee's 1999 Report on Data Protection, and further shaped by the Justice B. N. Srikrishna Committee's 2018 Personal Data Protection and Privacy Report. Central to these developments are conceptions of privacy as a fundamental right and personal data as a unique citizen right, where ownership, protection, transfer, and information practices dictate the normative framework of law. High-level administrative decisions suspend information protection, while Public Interest disclosures navigate the minimal information that must be disclosed for accountability to remain intact.

Challenges on the Horizon: Power, Privilege, and Digital Gaps

Digital governance rests on a complicated regime of interaction between the citizens and the government. A recent report by Transparency International highlighted that digital governance—through online delivery of services—has given new wings to corruption and nepotism (Udupa et al., 2019). The observation was pertinent, as privilege and power remain significant deterrents to leveraging digital governance for democratic accountability. Despite a zealous and rigorous articulation by the momentous 73rd and 74th amendments, which aimed at dismantling privilege and power barriers through decentralisation, the document remains rattered and tattered at the fringes. Many gram panchayats and urban local bodies are wholly unable to conduct elections, thus paralyzing the third tier and further entrenching the privilege–power axis at the village level. A similar stasis at the rural–urban system follows from the incapacity of cities and towns to form ward committees and councils. Digital governance also requires a proficient population capable of accessing devices designed for audio-visual engagement. Recent CAG audits reveal a worrying vacancy rate of educators in rural schools, where some states do not provide even textbooks; despite the need for granular data, acquisition urgently awaits volumes of genuine information from such locations.

Another pressing concern relates to opportunities for participation. A plethora of initiatives to solicit citizen inputs remains dormant, as the populace does not engage with them. Yet another line of inquiry arises around

Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN: 2998-4459 | Website: https://rlgjaar.com Volume-3, Issue-1 | January - 2026

infrastructure such as wifi-hotspots and community-connectivity; rough estimates indicate that around 40% of urban households and 10% of rural possess "home"-connectivity, while in the same locales approximately 10% and 2.5% of locations respectively provide public-connect access.

Innovations in Accountability: Case Studies and Moments of Truth

Remote villages in far-flung corners of India began to hear of innovations and inventions taking place in far-off cities. People's Faces lit up with curiosity as they read articles about what was called Digital India. Excitement was palpable; innovations in banking, healthcare, rural development, agriculture, and citizen engagement threw up inspiring glimpses of a nation on the move. But there were fundamental questions without clear answers. What does this mean for people in far-flung places who could not read or write or had access to only primary school education? Who were the stakeholders, and what was expected of them? What were the programs, and how did they affect India's vast populace? What were the limitations? What did it all add up to? What was the ultimate outcome sought, and how far on the journey had India progressed? Different hearts responded differently. Could digital governance empower a diverse population? Fixation on digital governance and yet a distrust of authorities filled her mind. What kind of digital governance lay ahead? How dark was the space of control?

The nation on the move was inviting audiences to join in a great play, yet censors screened what could be expressed. Onlookers were not at all adept with the codes of this play and struggled to identify what it was all really about and the actual substance of the intentions. Perhaps the central theme was "The citizens' voice." Could the people themselves be the best judges of the degree of their own empowerment? Such key subjects as "citizens' voice," "inclusiveness," and "trust" began to emerge as ways to probe deeper. Rather than simply looking at what is visible and fixed, the challenge was to catch sight of moving constituents along with the complex interplay among them and identify the contemporary objects of improvement. Consequently, rather than a description of the digital systems themselves accompanied by a surface characterization of their resilience concerning voice, attention turned toward the citizens' experiences: their access, their opportunities for meaningful participation, and their avenues for generating trust. These dimensions slowly began to take shape. In parallel, attention was also drawn to other forms of governance that might help inform the stage of the digital governance play. The journey promised to be long and arduous, and certainly, this pattern of thought was only tentative. But a slate began to take shape for a supportive pre-theater dialogue and mind-opening probe. There were questions still to be tackled, yet the drift was encouraged toward a more human-centered grasp of the bottom-up plays of empowerment, resilience, transformation, and what might accompany them.

Footnotes provided more solutions to accompany perceptions and guidance toward statements of hope. Yet personal shaping only touched a small handful of wandering thoughts. Further insight from stories such as the Beat Bureau in Madhya Pradesh, lip-reading in Bengaluru, and entrepreneurship in Tripura completed the sketch of the preamble. The great play of the time began to emerge more clearly. Attention to the drama of the contemporary play and acknowledgement of the diversity of the well-being endeavor also further revealed the boundedness of concern with widening empowerment. Drawing from knowledge across the fields of automatic regulation, transaction banks, pro-inclusiveness, the sickness of democratic account-taking, sound feedback-loops, stable core-friendship aggregation, active parts, citizen-inclusive invisibility and disengagement, formal-nomination moment integrals, technical undergirding environment-of-providing engagement sketches-and-the-explicatory-choice envelops, the story began to depict supporting pre-theater patterns to inspire the drumming of digital democratic unaccounting agency. As much to spur reflection, enhancement of core-and-non-contested technocratic system-poise-and-play embraced likewise the frames of familiar accountable virtuosity training and unprofessional engagement establishing, of well-being shaping as fulcrum between dis-empowering polarization and polarizing dis-empowerment concerned solely with deployment-system enhancement, and of high-frequency and interaction-sparse citizen-encompassing provision-drawing and naturally engaged citizen-choice crowd-alignment explication. Configuration sketches such as these would facilitate the securing and clarifying of span-continuation around the weave of agency pathways and specified on-stage freedom. Pattern-definition with movement-trace and freedom outline wraps thus also contribute to deeper–grip augmentation.

The information finally pointed to a stage of significant advancement along the trajectory of widening empowerment, yet continued strong caution persisted. Matters of circuit-control and the craving for control remained of foremost concern. What might still play out in the shadow of rigour and under such heavy surveillance? What assurance of real движение stayed available? Could there yet be pathways to regionalization and self-governance? Could anything escape signing over to the agenda of big-capital consolidation and wealth gathering that seemed to swirl around virtually everywhere? Would questions of delivery and empowerment, administration and emergence disappear, leaving intact only the struggle to accompany a citizen-stimulating forward movement as the sole articulated task? How to express the longing for something more than a relay of unease through so much highly-focused, highly-coordinated, citizen-anchor knowledge and experience?

The Human Element: Stories from the Ground

The engineering of the Indian state extends beyond technologies to spaces like legislation, regulation, fiscal architecture, and land and resource policies. These topics embody the contradictions and tensions of postcolonial democracies and provide an opportunity to explore how power relationships inscribed in State and political institutions shape development trajectories. Digital

contours enhance the study of state formation and development with solicitude for the nascent construction of digital public orders and mindful that, in the future, digital adjuncts could constitute a medium of concentration and accumulation of power or an instrumentarium of deperipheralization. The state emerges as a spatial construct and governance as a multidimensional problem articulated through territory, cities, and digitality addressing questions of multi-scalar coordination, infrastructural arrangements, differential and uneven political ordering, and recently materialized aspirations for planetary public orders.

Pathways to a Robust Digital Democracy: Principles and Practices

After two decades of democratization and local governance reform in India, accountability remains a principal concern. The introduction of a digital system affects the balance of accountability structures and influences the strength of democratic governance—potentially enhancing, yet also threatening it. In parallel with the rollout of technology new forces arrive that shape the course of governance and accountability for better or worse. A selection of case studies highlights the technology-policy interface and generates ideas for further experimentation and evolution of digital systems to enhance governance. Institutions that embrace the mission to advance governance, improve public goods delivery, and engage citizens on a wider range of issues are already encountering fresh sets of challenges brought about by new technology.

Epilogue: Hope, Hurdles, and the Continuing Journey

As I look back on this journey through Indian digital governance, I see light. Threads of light crisscross the vast and varied nation, illuminating not merely the aspirations but also the tangible progress wrought by digital technologies. Nestled in this vast tapestry, however, are persistent shadows of accountability, trust, and transparency. In preparing this narrative exploration, I endeavoured to understand both the journey and those who travel it, seeking not only the threads of light but also the continuing hurdles. Indeed, commitment to democratic accountability remains essential to nurture the perennial, promising hope offered by digital governance.

The promises of Digital India remain formidable, yet hopes are counterbalanced by persistent constraints and stark inequities. The expectations surrounding wider access to digital services and participatory platforms must grapple with ground realities of limited infrastructure. Illiteracy, varied linguistic proficiencies, lack of Internet or smart devices, and complex content options further constrain access. As accountabilities evolve, centralised plagiarism and intelligence-manipulation concerns grow. Hesitation to frame citizen discussions around sensitive matters remains persistent, magnified on social networks. Dual concern for truth and credibility also emerges: a prevailing hesitance to believe governmental statements coexists with lower trust in the veracity of either user-generated or privately circulating content, particularly politically charged material. Countless interlinking systems and services, varying digitally throughout public life, engage with diverse ministries, multi-level agencies, and a plethora of citizens, digitally and interactively. These arrangements, augmented by their overall potential for transformation, already matter deeply, responding to major, contemporary, influential events. Despite significant efforts and earnest initiatives, however, collectively they remain far from getting it fully right. Under such conditions, tracing system-partial interactions through the main publicly available data was impossible.

Conclusion

Digital India promises a transformed citizenry-through access and use of digital—yet its vast geography, socio-economic diversity, and attenuated infrastructure challenge its efficacy in assuring citizens that their finances and futures are secure. Claims are, therefore, finer than Digital India's professed aims (Fraunholz & Unnithan, 2006). The country juggles a range of digital services offered by both the Union and State ministries; apart from systematic differences, each ministry features a variety of digital service offerings; contrast across Union and State offerings complicates citizen interaction (Udupa et al., 2019). A particular electoral constituency touted the ease-and-trust with which citizens could communicate with the government via these platforms—a contrast to traditional channels. Gaps remain and deeper frustrating experiences nonetheless persist.

Acknowledgement

I am deeply thankful to my institution, BLDEA'S SB Arts and KCP Science College, Vijayapura, for providing an encouraging academic environment and the necessary support for this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Udupa, S., Venkatraman, S., & Khan, A. (2019). "Millennial India": Global Digital Politics in Context.
2. Fraunholz, B. & Unnithan, C. (2006). E-governance-inhibitor or facilitator for democracy and citizen empowerment?.
3. Epstein, D., J. Newhart, M., & Vernon, R. (2012). Not by Technology Alone: The "Analog" Aspects of Online Public Engagement in Policymaking.
4. Halberstam, M. (2015). Beyond Transparency: Rethinking Election Reform from an Open Government Perspective.
5. Gorwa, R. & Garton Ash, T. (2019). Democratic Transparency in the Platform Society. osf.io
6. Bear, L. (2013). The antinomies of audit: opacity, instability and charisma in the economic governance of a Hooghly shipyard.
7. Teboho Molete, N. (2008). The African Peer Review Mechanism : towards Africa's governance audit.
8. Shae Roberts, J. & N Montoya, L. (2022). Decolonisation, Global Data Law, and Indigenous Data Sovereignty.