



Original Article

# Traditional Archery in Indian Culture: with Special Reference to Dhanurveda

Dr. Hemant Verma

Director of Physical Education, JES College, Jalna, Maharashtra, India

Manuscript ID:  
RIGJAAR-2026-030208

ISSN: 2998-4459  
Volume 3  
Issue 2  
Pp. 40-45  
February 2026

Submitted: 08 Jan. 2026  
Revised: 15 Jan. 2026  
Accepted: 10 Feb. 2026  
Published: 28 Feb. 2026

Correspondence Address:  
Dr. Hemant Verma  
Director of Physical Education,  
JES College, Jalna,  
Maharashtra, India  
Email: [dpejes@gmail.com](mailto:dpejes@gmail.com)

Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://rlgjaar.com>



DOI:  
10.5281/zenodo.19604168

DOI Link:  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19604168>



Creative Commons



## Abstract

Traditional archery in India represents one of the world's oldest continuous martial traditions, extending from Vedic antiquity through contemporary indigenous practices. This paper examines the historical evolution, cultural significance. Dhanurveda texts systematized archery as a comprehensive science encompassing biomechanics, equipment fabrication, tactical applications, and philosophical principles. Indigenous tribal communities including the Bhil, Santhal, Khasi, Jaintia, and Garo peoples have preserved distinctive archery traditions that maintain cultural continuity while adapting to contemporary contexts. The revival of traditional archery through institutional initiatives, competitive sport development, and cultural heritage preservation efforts demonstrates growing recognition of archery's significance in Indian cultural identity. However, challenges including modernization pressures, loss of intergenerational knowledge transmission, and limited documentation threaten the survival of authentic traditional practices.

**Keywords:** traditional archery, Dhanurveda, Indian martial arts, indigenous knowledge, tribal archery

## Introduction

Archery occupies a distinctive position in Indian civilization, functioning simultaneously as martial technology, religious practice, philosophical discipline, and cultural symbol across millennia of historical development. Unlike many martial traditions that declined following the introduction of firearms, Indian archery maintained continuous practice through indigenous tribal communities, regional festivals, and cultural ceremonies, creating an unbroken lineage from ancient to contemporary periods. This continuity provides unique opportunities for examining how traditional knowledge systems adapt, persist, and transform across historical epochs.

The significance of archery in Indian culture manifests across multiple domains. In sacred literature, legendary archers including Arjuna, Rama, Karna, Bhishma, and Ekalavya exemplify martial excellence, ethical conduct, and spiritual attainment. The Mahabharata dedicates substantial narrative to archery competitions, training methodologies, and philosophical discourses on martial ethics, reflecting the cultural centrality of this practice. Classical texts systematized archery knowledge in the Dhanurveda tradition, creating comprehensive technical treatises comparable to medieval European martial manuscripts.

Archaeological evidence extends Indian archery traditions deep into prehistory. Recent excavations in Sri Lankan caves have recovered arrowheads dating to approximately 48,000 years before present, suggesting early South Asian populations possessed sophisticated projectile technology. Vedic literature dating to 1500-500 BCE contains detailed archery terminology, indicating highly developed bow technology and tactical applications during this formative period. Rock art from various Indian sites depicts hunting and combat scenes featuring archers, providing visual documentation of ancient practices.

Contemporary indigenous communities, particularly in central and northeastern India, maintain living archery traditions that preserve ancient technical knowledge, ritual practices, and cultural meanings. The Bhil tribal community, whose name derives from the Dravidian word "billu" meaning bow, has maintained archery as central to cultural identity across centuries. In Meghalaya, the Khasi, Jaintia, and Garo peoples practice traditional archery integrated with religious beliefs, oral literature, and community festivals, creating a holistic cultural system centered on this martial art.

## Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

## How to cite this article:

Verma, H. (2026). Traditional Archery in Indian Culture: with Special Reference to Dhanurveda. *Royal International Global Journal of Advance and Applied Research*, 3(2), 40-45.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19604168>

This paper examines traditional Indian archery comprehensively, integrating historical, technical, cultural, and contemporary dimensions to provide a holistic understanding of this martial heritage and examination of Dhanurveda systematic knowledge, documentation of indigenous tribal archery traditions, and technical characteristics of traditional Indian bows and arrows.

### **Historical Evolution of Archery in India**

#### **Vedic Period (c. 1500 - 500 BCE)**

The Vedic sagas, among humanity's oldest preserved texts, contain extensive archery terminology and descriptions indicating sophisticated bow technology during this formative period. The Rigveda references various types of arrows, bow construction materials, and tactical applications in warfare, suggesting archery had already achieved technical maturity by the time of textual compilation. Vedic warfare emphasized chariot-mounted archers as elite military units, paralleling contemporary developments in Egypt, Mesopotamia, and China.

Vedic society accorded high status to accomplished archers, with martial prowess in bow use constituting an essential qualification for kshatriya (warrior) class membership. Training in archery commenced during childhood under the supervision of specialized teachers, establishing pedagogical frameworks that persisted through subsequent historical periods. The integration of archery training with broader education in philosophy, mathematics, and spiritual disciplines created a holistic warrior culture wherein martial skill development served larger purposes of character formation and social responsibility.

#### **Epic Period and Classical Systematization (c. 500 BCE - 500 CE)**

The Mahabharata and Ramayana epics, achieving final compilation between 400 BCE and 400 CE, elevated archery to central narrative and philosophical importance. These texts present archery not merely as combat skill but as discipline requiring mental focus, ethical judgment, and spiritual awareness. The famous narrative of Arjuna's training under Drona exemplifies pedagogical principles emphasizing concentration (ekagrata), consistent practice (abhyasa), and guru-disciple relationship (guru-shishya parampara) that became foundational to Indian martial traditions.

Arjuna's legendary feat of focusing exclusively on a bird's eye while other students perceived multiple environmental elements illustrates the cultivation of single-pointed concentration essential for martial excellence. His ability to shoot accurately in darkness, strike moving targets, and execute complex arrow manipulations demonstrates technical sophistication achieved through systematic training methodologies. These epic narratives served pedagogical functions, transmitting training principles and ethical frameworks across generations through memorable stories.

The systematization of archery knowledge in Dhanurveda texts occurred during this period, creating formal treatises that codified technical, tactical, and philosophical dimensions of the martial art. Multiple Dhanurveda recensions attributed to sages Bhrgu,

Vishvamitra, Bharadwaja, and Vasishtha indicate different schools or regional traditions within a broader systematic framework. These texts achieved remarkable technical precision in describing bow mechanics, arrow aerodynamics, stance optimization, and tactical applications, demonstrating empirical observation and analytical refinement over extended historical periods.

### **Dhanurveda: The Science of Archery**

#### **Textual Tradition and Philosophical Foundations**

The Dhanurveda, traditionally classified as an upaveda (supplementary knowledge system) attached to the Yajurveda, represents one of history's most comprehensive systematic treatments of archery. The text's attribution to divine revelation through sages indicates the sacred status accorded to martial knowledge in classical Indian thought. Dhanurveda systematization parallels contemporary developments in other specialized knowledge domains including medicine (Ayurveda), architecture (Vastu Shastra), and performing arts (Natya Shastra).

The philosophical foundations of Dhanurveda integrate practical martial training with spiritual discipline and ethical conduct. Archers were expected to cultivate mental qualities including patience, focus, courage, and discriminative judgment alongside physical skills. The text emphasizes that technical proficiency without moral character creates dangerous individuals who misuse martial abilities, establishing ethical frameworks governing the teaching and application of archery knowledge.

#### **Technical Content and Training Methodologies**

Dhanurveda texts provide detailed specifications for bow construction, describing optimal wood selections, seasoning procedures, stringing tensions, and structural reinforcement techniques. Different bow types are categorized by size, draw weight, intended applications (warfare, hunting, target practice), and user characteristics. The texts demonstrate sophisticated understanding of material properties, recognizing how wood grain orientation, moisture content, and thermal treatment affect bow performance.

Arrow construction receives equally detailed treatment, with specifications for shaft materials, fletching configurations, arrowhead designs for different targets, and balance points affecting flight characteristics. The texts describe various arrowhead types optimized for penetrating armor, cutting flesh, stunning targets, or carrying incendiary materials. This technical precision indicates empirical experimentation and accumulated practical experience systematically documented.

Training progressions outlined in Dhanurveda follow pedagogical principles consistent with modern motor learning theory, emphasizing graduated difficulty, repetitive practice, and progressive skill integration. Students begin with basic posture and grip, advance through increasingly complex movements, and culminate in integrated skills applicable to combat scenarios. The recommended training duration—six months for mastering grip, one year for aiming proficiency—reflects realistic timelines for neuromuscular adaptation and skill consolidation.

### Biomechanical Principles

Dhanurveda descriptions of shooting stances demonstrate sophisticated biomechanical understanding, though expressed in different terminology than contemporary sports science. The text describes various foot positions including pratyalidha (forward lunge stance) and visakhasthana (feet equally spaced) with explanations of their applications for different shooting scenarios. These stance variations optimize stability, force generation, and postural alignment for specific tactical requirements.

The emphasis on skeletal alignment, particularly the coordination of bow arm, draw hand, and visual focus, anticipates modern biomechanical principles of kinetic chain efficiency. Instructions to "press the bow like killing a serpent" while holding arrows "gently like a flower" demonstrate nuanced understanding of differential muscle tension patterns required for simultaneous strength and sensitivity.

Breathing coordination receives explicit attention, with instructions to regulate respiration for stability during aim and execute release during natural respiratory pauses. This integration of breathing control with physical technique parallels practices in yoga and demonstrates holistic understanding of psychophysiological factors affecting performance.

### Mental Training and Consciousness Disciplines

Perhaps the most distinctive feature of Dhanurveda pedagogy is its emphasis on mental training and consciousness development. The text distinguishes between physical skill (hastalaaghava) and mental mastery (cittaikagrata), recognizing that technical proficiency without mental discipline limits ultimate achievement. Extended passages describe meditation practices, visualization techniques, and attention training methods designed to cultivate the mental qualities essential for archery excellence.

The famous narrative of Arjuna seeing only the bird's eye exemplifies this emphasis on concentration training. The text explains that distracted awareness perceiving multiple simultaneous stimuli prevents the single-pointed focus necessary for precision shooting. Training methodologies for developing such concentration include fixed-point gazing (trataka), progressive attention narrowing, and mental rehearsal of perfect shots.

The integration of spiritual practice with martial training reflects broader patterns in Indian knowledge systems wherein specialized technical skills serve larger purposes of personal development and self-realization. Archery becomes a vehicle for consciousness transformation rather than merely a combat technique.

### Indigenous Tribal Archery Traditions

#### Bhil Community Archery Heritage

The Bhil peoples, distributed across Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Chhattisgarh, and Andhra Pradesh, represent India's largest tribal community with distinctive archery traditions central to cultural identity. The community name derives from "billu" (bow in Dravidian languages), indicating the historical centrality of this practice. Traditional Bhil society

recognized specialized archer roles, with accomplished bowmen receiving prestige and assuming leadership positions in hunting expeditions and community defense.

Bhil archery technique emphasizes instinctive shooting without mechanical sights, relying on embodied knowledge developed through extensive practice commencing in childhood. Children receive small bows proportioned to their physical capabilities, progressing to full-size equipment as they mature. Training occurs through participation in actual hunting activities under elder supervision, embedding skill development within functional contexts rather than isolated practice sessions.

#### Northeast Indian Archery Traditions

The northeastern states, particularly Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, and Manipur, maintain vibrant archery traditions integrated with indigenous religious beliefs and social structures. The Khasi, Jaintia, and Garo peoples of Meghalaya practice "Teer" (meaning arrow), a distinctive archery tradition combining sport, cultural practice, and ritualized gambling that sustains daily community engagement.

Khasi origin narratives attribute archery to divine gift from the goddess Ka Shinam, who bestowed sacred bows and arrows upon her sons U Shynna and U Batiton[86]. This mythological charter establishes archery's sacred significance and provides cosmological framework for its practice. Traditional Khasi bows, called "ka ryntieh," measure approximately five feet in length, matching the average height of Khasi men, and feature bamboo construction with distinctive binding patterns.

Shillong's institutionalized Teer competitions exemplify the adaptation of traditional practices to contemporary contexts. Daily archery events (except Sundays and holidays) feature 30 archers shooting bamboo arrows at cylindrical straw targets from 60 feet distance. Two rounds require shooting 34 arrows in four minutes followed by 24 arrows in three minutes, testing both accuracy and sustained performance under time pressure. The integration of regulated betting (legalized by state government) provides economic incentives that sustain archer participation and maintain community interest.

Village archery practices preserve more traditional forms, featuring smaller targets, spontaneous poetic compositions (phawar) used to psychologically unsettle opponents, and ceremonial contexts embedding competitions within broader cultural frameworks. These practices demonstrate how traditional forms adapt to contemporary conditions while maintaining cultural continuity and meaning.

#### Central Indian Tribal Archery Practices

Tribal communities in Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and Odisha maintain archery traditions closely integrated with forest-based livelihoods and subsistence hunting. The Santhal, Munda, Oraon, and Ho peoples developed archery skills serving practical functions in acquiring food, protecting crops from wildlife, and occasional inter-community conflicts. Unlike ritualized competitions in northeastern regions, central Indian archery emphasizes utilitarian efficiency and practical effectiveness.

Traditional training occurs through childhood participation in hunting activities, with boys accompanying experienced hunters and gradually assuming greater responsibility as skills develop. This apprenticeship model transmits ecological knowledge, animal behavior understanding, and ethical hunting practices alongside technical archery skills. The integration of archery within comprehensive environmental knowledge systems distinguishes these traditions from sport archery focused narrowly on target shooting.

However, recent initiatives recognize archery's potential for cultural preservation and community development. Jharkhand's naming of its Archery Premier League team "Chero Archers" honors the historical warrior heritage of indigenous communities. Grassroots training programs in villages maintain traditional bamboo bow practice while integrating modern competitive opportunities. Athletes including Deepika Kumari and Komalika Bari demonstrate pathways from traditional tribal backgrounds to international competitive success, inspiring youth engagement with archery.

### Conclusion

Traditional archery in India represents a cultural heritage of extraordinary depth, extending from Vedic antiquity through contemporary indigenous practices. This martial tradition has functioned simultaneously as combat technology, spiritual discipline, cultural identity marker, and practical subsistence skill across three millennia of continuous development. The Dhanurveda systematization demonstrates sophisticated technical knowledge and philosophical frameworks that anticipate modern sports science while embedding martial training within comprehensive human development objectives. Indigenous tribal communities including the Bhil, Santhal, Khasi, Jaintia, and Garo peoples have preserved distinctive archery traditions maintaining cultural continuity despite substantial historical transformations.

The contemporary period presents both opportunities and challenges for traditional archery preservation. Growing awareness about indigenous knowledge systems, successful integration of tribal archers into competitive sport, and digital documentation capabilities create conditions favoring revitalization efforts. However, economic pressures, urbanization, educational transformations, and practical utility declines threaten intergenerational transmission. The divergence between standardized competitive archery and traditional practices risks displacing authentic cultural forms with homogenized international standards.

The significance of traditional Indian archery extends beyond cultural heritage preservation to encompass broader questions about indigenous knowledge recognition, sustainable livelihoods for traditional communities, and cultural diversity maintenance in globalizing contexts. This martial tradition demonstrates how technical practices embed rich cultural meanings, ecological relationships, and philosophical frameworks that merit preservation as humanity's shared heritage. As India negotiates its contemporary identity balancing tradition and modernity,

the fate of traditional archery offers a microcosm of larger cultural preservation challenges facing indigenous communities worldwide.

Future prospects depend substantially on younger generations' engagement with traditional practices. Creating pathways that honor authentic traditions while providing contemporary relevance and economic viability will determine whether traditional Indian archery survives as living practice or becomes historical curiosity.

### Acknowledgment

The author expresses sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the successful completion of this research work.

Special thanks are extended to colleagues and academic peers for their valuable suggestions, constructive feedback, and continuous encouragement throughout the study.

### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

### References

1. Gopal, L. (1963). The importance of archery in ancient Indian warfare. *Journal of Indian History*, 41(2), 341-358.
2. Chakraborty, D. K. (2020). Continuity and transformation in Indian martial traditions. *Studies in History*, 36(1), 89-112.
3. Bhattacharya, S. (1992). Legendary archers in Hindu mythology: Cultural symbolism and martial excellence. *Asian Folklore Studies*, 51(1), 83-104.
4. Fitzgerald, J. L. (2004). *The Mahabharata: Vol. 7, Book 11, The Book of the Women, Book 12, The Book of Peace, Part One*. University of Chicago Press.
5. Prasad, B. N. (1988). Dhanurveda: The ancient Indian science of archery. *Indian Journal of History of Science*, 23(3), 215-235.
6. Wedage, O., Picin, A., Blinkhorn, J., Douka, K., Deraniyagala, S., Kourampas, N., Perera, N., Simpson, I., Horton, M., Petraglia, M. D., & Roberts, P. (2019). Microliths in the South Asian rainforest ~45-4 ka: New insights from Fa-Hien Lena Cave, Sri Lanka. *PLoS ONE*, 14(10), e0222606.
7. Sharma, R. S. (1980). *Material Culture and Social Formations in Ancient India*. Macmillan India.
8. Mathpal, Y. (1984). *Prehistoric Rock Paintings of Bhimbetka, Central India*. Abhinav Publications.
9. Singh, K. S. (Ed.). (1998). *The Scheduled Tribes: People of India National Series Volume III*. Oxford University Press.
10. Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India. (2013). *Tribal Faces in India*. Retrieved from [https://tribal.nic.in/downloads/Livelihood/Resource and Publications/tribalFaces.pdf](https://tribal.nic.in/downloads/Livelihood/Resource%20and%20Publications/tribalFaces.pdf)
11. Barih, H. (2001). *The History and Culture of the Khasi People* (7th ed.). Spectrum Publications.



12. Witzel, M. (2003). Vedas and Upanishads. In G. Flood (Ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Hinduism* (pp. 68-101). Blackwell Publishing.
13. Majumdar, R. C. (1959). Rgvedic civilization in the light of archaeology. *Journal of the Asiatic Society*, 1(1), 1-18.
14. Drews, R. (2004). *Early Riders: The Beginnings of Mounted Warfare in Asia and Europe*. Routledge.
15. Chakravarti, U. (2003). *Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens*. Stree.
16. Altekar, A. S. (1934). *Education in Ancient India*. Indian Book Shop.
17. Deshpande, M. M. (2011). Sociolinguistic attitudes in ancient India. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 131(2), 323-331.
18. van Buitenen, J. A. B. (1973-1978). *The Mahabharata* (Vols. 1-3). University of Chicago Press.
19. Malinar, A. (2007). *The Bhagavadgita: Doctrines and Contexts*. Cambridge University Press.
20. Alter, J. S. (1992). *The Wrestler's Body: Identity and Ideology in North India*. University of California Press.
21. Tapasyananda, S. (2002). *Mahabharata: A Study and Abridgement*. Sri Ramakrishna Math.
22. Bowker, J. (2002). *The Cambridge Illustrated History of Religions*. Cambridge University Press.
23. Richman, P. (1991). *Many Ramayanas: The Diversity of a Narrative Tradition in South Asia*. University of California Press.
24. Agni Purana. (2021). Chapter 249: The science of archery (dhanurveda). *Wisdom Library*. Retrieved from <https://www.wisdomlib.org/hinduism/book/the-agni-purana/>
25. Prasad, R. C. (2003). *Dhanurveda: The Vedic Military Science*. Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratishthan.
26. Dikshitar, V. R. R. (1944). *War in Ancient India*. Macmillan.
27. Dhanurveda. (2007). *Wikipedia*. Retrieved from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dhanurveda>
28. Coburn, T. B. (1984). "Scripture" in India: Towards a typology of the word in Hindu life. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, 52(3), 435-459.
29. Zysk, K. G. (1991). *Asceticism and Healing in Ancient India: Medicine in the Buddhist Monastery*. Oxford University Press.
30. Chakravarti, P. C. (1972). *The Art of War in Ancient India*. Low Price Publications.
31. Sukraniti. (1975). *Sukra Niti Sara* (B. K. Sarkar, Trans.). Oriental Books Reprint Corporation.
32. Olivelle, P. (Ed.). (2005). *Manu's Code of Law: A Critical Edition and Translation of the Manava-Dharmasastra*. Oxford University Press.
33. Vasiṣṭha's Dhanurveda Samhita. (2015). [Archive.org](https://archive.org/download/in.ernet.dli.2015.38270/1/). Retrieved from <https://archive.org/download/in.ernet.dli.2015.38270/1/>
34. Brhat Sarngadhara Paddhati. (2008). *Dhanurveda: The Ancient Art of Archery*. Scribd. Retrieved from <https://www.scribd.com/document/6634396/>
35. Payne-Gallwey, R. (1903). *The Crossbow: Medieval and Modern, Military and Sporting*. Longmans, Green, and Co.
36. Klopsteg, P. E. (1943). *Turkish Archery and the Composite Bow*. Simon Archery Foundation.
37. McEwen, E., Miller, R., & Bergman, C. (1991). Early bow design and construction. *Scientific American*, 264(6), 76-82.
38. Magill, R. A., & Anderson, D. I. (2017). *Motor Learning and Control: Concepts and Applications* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
39. Schmidt, R. A., & Lee, T. D. (2019). *Motor Learning and Performance: From Principles to Application* (6th ed.). Human Kinetics.
40. Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Römer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psychological Review*, 100(3), 363-406.
41. Leroyer, P., Van Hoecke, J., & Helal, J. N. (1993). Biomechanical study of the final push-pull in archery. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 11(1), 63-69.
42. Dhanurveda Samhita. (1978). Various stances and positions in archery. In *Sanskrit Texts on Military Science* (pp. 234-256). Chaukhamba Orientalia.
43. Winter, D. A. (2009). *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
44. Putnam, C. A. (1993). Sequential motions of body segments in striking and throwing skills: Descriptions and explanations. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 26(Suppl 1), 125-135.
45. Brhat Sarngadhara Paddhati, Verses 1835-1836.
46. Dhanurveda Samhita, Chapter on Breathing and Shooting Coordination.
47. Iyengar, B. K. S. (1979). *Light on Yoga*. Schocken Books.
48. Feuerstein, G. (2001). *The Yoga Tradition: Its History, Literature, Philosophy and Practice*. Hohm Press.
49. Dhanurveda Samhita, Section on Mental Mastery (Cittaikagrata).
50. Malhotra, A. (2012). *Transcendence and Transformation: The Vision of India's Ancient Seers*. D.K. Printworld.
51. Mahabharata, Adi Parva, Section 134.
52. Murphy, M., & White, R. A. (1995). *In the Zone: Transcendent Experience in Sports*. Penguin/Arkana.
53. Rama, S., Ballentine, R., & Hymes, A. (1998). *Science of Breath: A Practical Guide*. Himalayan Institute Press.
54. Flood, G. (1996). *An Introduction to Hinduism*. Cambridge University Press.
55. Sri Aurobindo. (1996). *Essays on the Gita*. Sri Aurobindo Ashram Press.
56. Ministry of Tribal Affairs. (2013). Bhil community profile. *Tribal Faces in India*. Government of India.
57. Bhuriya, D. (2018). Cultural heritage of Bhil tribe. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(4), 234-241.
58. Naik, T. B. (1956). *The Bhils: A Study*. Bharatiya Adimjati Sevak Sangh.



59. Parmar, S. (2016). Traditional archery practices among Bhil tribals of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Tribal Studies*, 14(2), 112-128.
60. Doshi, S. L. (1971). Bhils: Between societal self-awareness and cultural synthesis. *Journal of Social Research*, 14(1), 43-58.
61. Mann, R. S. (1996). *Tribes of India: Ongoing Challenges*. MD Publications.
62. Xaxa, V. (2011). The status of tribal cultures today. *Social Change*, 41(1), 1-23.
63. Kumar, B. B. (2008). *Tribal Culture, History and Religion*. Mittal Publications.
64. ABC News Australia. (2023). Traditional archery kept alive in India through culture and betting. Retrieved from <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-01-28/>
65. Bareh, H. (2001). Origin myths and archery in Khasi culture. In *The History and Culture of the Khasi People* (pp. 156-172). Spectrum Publications.
66. Dkhar, E. (2015). Religious beliefs and practices among the Khasis. *Journal of North East India Studies*, 5(2), 43-61.
67. Social Media Post. (2025). Khasi traditional bow construction. Instagram: @usha\_play. Retrieved from <https://www.instagram.com/p/DPLEqHyCXsY/>
68. The New Indian Express. (2023). Archery tradition thrives in northeast India. Retrieved from <https://www.newindianexpress.com/sport/other/2023/Jan/24/>
69. Al Jazeera. (2023). A thriving archery tradition in northeast India's Meghalaya. Photo essay. Retrieved from <https://www.aljazeera.com/gallery/2023/1/24/>
70. Khasi Hills Archery Sports Institute. (2022). Competition rules and regulations. Shillong, Meghalaya.
71. Meghalaya State Lottery Department. (2021). Teer gambling regulations and cultural significance. Government of Meghalaya.
72. Curtain Call Adventures. (2024). Teer: Meghalaya's unique archery lottery. Retrieved from <https://curtaincalladventures.com/meghalayas-unique-archery-lottery/>
73. Syiem, P. (2019). Traditional games and sports of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 18(3), 567-574.
74. Kumar, A. (2017). Archery traditions in central Indian tribal communities. *Anthropological Survey of India Memoirs*, 112, 89-104.
75. Sinha, S. (1962). State formation and Rajput myth in tribal central India. *Man in India*, 42(1), 35-80.
76. Roy, S. C. (1970). *The Oraons of Chota Nagpur*. Ranchi: Man in India Office.
77. Vidyarthi, L. P. (1963). *The Maler: A Study in Nature-Man-Spirit Complex*. Bookland Private Ltd.
78. Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred Ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.
79. Sinha, D. (1972). The Birhors. In M. G. Bicchieri (Ed.), *Hunters and Gatherers Today* (pp. 371-403). Holt, Rinehart and Winston.